arXiv:1208.2942v1 [math.CO] 14 Aug 2012

A NEW APPROACH TO PERMUTATION POLYNOMIALS OVER FINITE FIELDS, II

NERANGA FERNANDO, XIANG-DONG HOU*, AND STEPHEN D. LAPPANO

Abstract. Let p be a prime and q a power of p. For $n \geq 0$, let $g_{n,q} \in \mathbb{F}_p[\mathtt{x}]$ be the polynomial defined by the functional equation $\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathtt{x}+a)^n = g_{n,q}(\mathtt{x}^q - \mathtt{x})$. When is $g_{n,q}$ a permutation polynomial (PP) of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$? This turns out to be a challenging question with remarkable breath and depth, as shown in the predecessor of the present paper. We call a triple of positive integers $(n, e; q)$ *desirable* if $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$. In the present paper, we find many new classes of desirable triples whose corresponding PPs were previously unknown. Several new techniques are introduced for proving a given polynomial is a PP.

1. Introduction

Let p be a prime and $q = p^s$, where s is a positive integer. For each integer $n \geq 0$, there is a polynomial $g_{n,q} \in \mathbb{F}_p[\mathtt{x}]$ satisfying

$$\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathtt{x}+a)^n = g_{n,q}(\mathtt{x}^q - \mathtt{x}). \tag{1.1}$$

The origin of the polynomial $g_{n,q}$ can be traced to the *reversed Dickson polynomials* [9]. Eq. (1.1) is a natural generalization of the functional equation that defines the reversed Dickson polynomial [7]. We refer the reader to the introduction of [8] for more background of the polynomial $g_{n,q}$.

The present paper and its predecessor [8] concern the following question: When is $g_{n,q}$ a permutation polynomial (PP) of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$? We have seen in [8, 9], and will continue to see in the present paper, that this is a far reaching question that does not have a simple answer. We call a triple of integers $(n, e; q)$ *desirable* if $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$. When $q = 2$, all known desirable triples $(n, e; 2)$ are covered by four classes, and a conjecture states that there are no other desirable triples with $q = 2$. The question with a general q was studied in [8]. The results of [8] demonstrate that there are abundant desirable triples $(n, e; q)$, many of which correspond to interesting new PPs. However, [8] was only a first step to understand the permutation properties of the polynomial $g_{n,q}$, and the results there were far from being conclusive. There is clear evidence (both theoretic and numerical) that the desirable triples determined in [8] only constitute a small portion of all desirable triples. In [8], there is a table (Table 3) generated by a computer search that contains all desirable triples $(n, e; 3)$ (up to equivalence) with $e \leq 4$. Even in this isolated case, there are still many instances where no theoretic explanation for the desirable triples has been found. Each unexplained instance is itself a question: Is the PP sporadic or does it belong to a previously unknown class? As a sequel of

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T06, 11T55.

Key words and phrases. finite field, permutation polynomial, reversed Dickson polynomial.

* Research partially supported by NSA Grant H98230-12-1-0245.

[8], part of the present paper will be devoted to answering some of the questions raised in [8]. However, for the better part of the present paper, we find ourselves in new fronts, dealing with questions about $g_{n,q}$ that were not touched in [8]

Here is an overview of the paper. In Section 2, we determine all desirable triples $(n,1;q)$ by computing the generating function $\sum_{n\ge 0} g_{n,q}(\mathtt{x})\mathtt{t}^n$. Section 3 is based on a technique developed in [8]. When $q=p$ and $n=\alpha(p^{0e}+p^{1e}+\cdots+p^{(p-1)e})+\beta$, $\alpha,\beta\ge 0$, it is known [8, Lemma 3.5] that for $x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$,

$$g_{n,p}(x)=\begin{cases} g_{\alpha p+\beta,p}(x) & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x)=0,\\ x^\alpha g_{\beta,p}(x) & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x)\ne 0.\end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Many desirable triples in [8] were obtained by the above formula. Here we are able to generalize some of those desirable triples, and we also find a few new classes. These new results, combined with those in [8], allow us to categorize most desirable triples with $q=3$ and $e\le 6$; see Table 2 in Appendix A, which is an expansion and update of Table 3 in [8]. (A desirable triple is considered *categorized* if an infinite class containing it has been found.) We notice that in Table 2 most uncategorized cases occur with $e=3$ and a few with $e=4$; for $e=5,6$, every case is categorized. This is perhaps an indication that desirable triples $(n,e;q)$ are easier to understand when e is large. The first two uncategorized desirable triples with $q=3$ are $(101,3;3)$ and $(407,3;3)$. These two cases and an additional case $(91525,4;3)$ are examined in Section 4. We find that in each of these cases, the reason for $g_{n,3}$ to be PP is quite unique. We believe, for the time being, that the three cases are sporadic. Section 5 is devoted to the study of desirable triples $(n,e;q)$, where n is of the form q^a-q^b-1. The results of our initial computer search indicate that this type of desirable triples occur frequently. A separate computer search is conducted for this type of desirable triples only. When $e>2$, all known desirable triples $(q^a-q^b-1,e;q)$ are covered by Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 5.3, and we conjecture that there are no other cases. When $e=2$, the situation becomes very interesting; see Table 1 in Appendix A. We discover several new classes of desirable triples $(q^a-q^b-1,2;q)$ that provide explanations for some of the computer results. But in many other cases, no theoretic explanation of the computer results is known. At the end of Section 5 is a conjecture that predicts several classes of PPs of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with surprising simplicity. Section 6 primarily deals with desirable triples with even q. Again we find numerous classes of desirable triples. Their corresponding PPs (of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$) are related to the trace function $\operatorname{Tr}_{q^e/q}$ in various ways. In recent literature, the trace function played important roles in many constructions of PPs over finite fields; see for example, [1, 2, 3, 11, 14, 15]. However, the reader will find that the role of the trace function in the PPs of the present paper is rather different. The results of Section 6 allow us to categorize most desirable triples with $q=4$ and $e\le 6$; see Table 3 in Appendix A. There are three appendices. Appendix A contains the three tables mentioned above. Appendix B is devoted to the determination of the parameters satisfying the conditions in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Appendix C contains some computational results used in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Throughout the paper, various techniques are employed to prove a given polynomial is a PP. It is the authors' hope that some of these techniques will be useful in other situations.

In our notation, letters in typewriter typeface, $\mathtt{x}$, $\mathtt{y}$, $\mathtt{t}$, are reserved for indeterminates. The trace function $\operatorname{Tr}_{q^e/q}$ and the norm function $\operatorname{N}_{q^e/q}$ from $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$

to $\mathbb{F}_q$ are also treated as polynomials, that is, $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x}) = \mathtt{x} + \mathtt{x}^q + \cdots + \mathtt{x}^{q^{e-1}}$, $\mathrm{N}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x}) = \mathtt{x}^{1+q+\cdots+q^{e-1}}$. When q is given, we define $S_a = \mathtt{x} + \mathtt{x}^q + \cdots + \mathtt{x}^{q^{a-1}}$ for every integer $a \geq 0$. Note that $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q} = S_e$. If two integers $m, n > 0$ belong to the same p-cyclotomic coset modulo $q^{pe} - 1$, the two triples $(m, e; q)$ and $(n, e; q)$ are called *equivalent*, and we write $(m, e; q) \sim (n, e; q)$ or $m \sim_{(e,q)} n$. (Note that the meaning of "$\sim_{(e,q)}$" here is slightly different from that of "$\sim_{(q,e)}$" in [8].) Desirability of triples is preserved under the $\sim$ equivalence [8, Proposition 2.4].

2. The Case $e = 1$

In this section, we determine all desirable triples $(n, 1; q)$.

Theorem 2.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} g_{n,q}(\mathtt{x})\mathtt{t}^n \equiv \frac{-(\mathtt{xt})^{q-1}}{1-(\mathtt{xt})^{q-1}-(\mathtt{xt})^q} + (1-\mathtt{x}^{q-1})\frac{-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}}{1-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^q - \mathtt{x}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Namely, modulo $\mathtt{x}^q - \mathtt{x}$,

$$g_{n,q}(\mathtt{x}) \equiv a_n \mathtt{x}^n + \begin{cases} \mathtt{x}^{q-1} - 1 & \text{if } n > 0,\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n \mathtt{t}^n = \frac{-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}}{1-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}-\mathtt{t}^q}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Recall from [8, Eq. (5.1)] that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} g_{n,q}\mathtt{t}^n = \frac{-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}}{1-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}-\mathtt{x}\mathtt{t}^q}.$$

Clearly,

$$\frac{-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}}{1-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}-\mathtt{x}\mathtt{t}^q} \equiv \frac{-(\mathtt{xt})^{q-1}}{1-(\mathtt{xt})^{q-1}-(\mathtt{xt})^q} + (1-\mathtt{x}^{q-1})\frac{-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}}{1-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q-1} - 1},$$

and

$$\frac{-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}}{1-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}-\mathtt{x}\mathtt{t}^q} \equiv \frac{-(\mathtt{xt})^{q-1}}{1-(\mathtt{xt})^{q-1}-(\mathtt{xt})^q} + (1-\mathtt{x}^{q-1})\frac{-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}}{1-\mathtt{t}^{q-1}} \pmod{\mathtt{x}}.$$

Thus (2.1) is proved. □

Corollary 2.2. (i) *Assume $q > 2$. Then $(n, 1; q)$ is desirable if and only if $\gcd(n, q-1) = 1$ and $a_n \neq 0$ (in $\mathbb{F}_p$).*

(ii) *Assume $q = 2$. Then $(n, 1; 2)$ is desirable if and only if $a_n = 0$ (in $\mathbb{F}_2$).*

Proof. (i) By (2.2), $g_{n,q}(x) = a_n x^n$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. If $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$, then $a_n \neq 0$ and $\gcd(n, q-1) = 1$. On the other hand, assume $a_n \neq 0$ and $\gcd(n, q-1) = 1$. By (2.2), we have $g_{n,q} \equiv a_n \mathtt{x}^n \pmod{\mathtt{x}^q - \mathtt{x}}$, which is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$.

(ii) By (2.2), $g_{n,2} \equiv a_n \mathtt{x} + \mathtt{x} - 1 \pmod{\mathtt{x}^2 - \mathtt{x}}$. Hence the conclusion. □

Remark. From (2.3) one can easily derive an explicit expression for a_n. But that expression does not give any simple pattern of those n with $a_n \neq 0$ (in $\mathbb{F}_p$).

3. New Desirable Triples by a Previous Method

In this section, we further exploit the method of [8] based on (1.2).

Given integers $d > 1$ and $a = a_0 d^0 + \cdots + a_t d^t$, $0 \le a_i \le d-1$, we write $a = (a_0, \dots, a_t)_d$; the *base d weight* of a is $w_d(a) = a_0 + \cdots + a_t$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let p be a prime and $e > 1$. Assume that $e \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ if $p = 2$. Let $0 < \alpha < p^e - 1$ and $0 < \beta < p^{pe} - 1$ such that*

(i) *$\alpha \equiv p^l \pmod{\frac{p^e-1}{p-1}}$ for some $0 \le l < e$;*
(ii) *$w_p(\beta) = p - 1$;*
(iii) *$w_p\big((\alpha p + \beta)^\dagger\big) = p$.*

(For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m^\dagger$ is defined to be the integer such that $1 \le m^\dagger \le p^e - 1$ and $m^\dagger \equiv m \pmod{p^e - 1}$.) Let $n = \alpha(p^{0e} + p^{1e} + \cdots + p^{(p-1)e}) + \beta$ and write $(\alpha p + \beta)^\dagger = a_0 p^0 + \cdots + a_{e-1} p^{e-1}$, $0 \le a_i \le p-1$. Then $(n, e; p)$ is desirable if and only if

$$\gcd(a_0 + a_1 \mathtt{x} + \cdots + a_{e-1}\mathtt{x}^{e-1},\ \mathtt{x}^e - 1) = \mathtt{x} - 1.$$

Proof. 1° We first assume $p > 2$. By (1.2), for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$,

$$g_{n,p}(x) = \begin{cases} g_{\alpha p+\beta,p}(x) & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x) = 0,\\ x^\alpha g_{\beta,p}(x) & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x) \ne 0.\end{cases}$$

By [8, Lemma 3.3], $g_{\beta,p} = -1$. When $x \in \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}^{-1}(0)$, we have $g_{\alpha p+\beta,p}(x) = g_{(\alpha p+\beta)^\dagger,p}(x)$. (In fact, if $n_1, n_2 > 0$ and $n_1 \equiv n_2 \pmod{p^e - 1}$, then $g_{n_1,p}(x) = g_{n_2,p}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}^{-1}(0)$; see [8, Proof of Lemma 3.5, Step 1°].) So by [8, Lemma 3.3],

$$g_{\alpha p+\beta,p}(x) = g_{(\alpha p+\beta)^\dagger,p}(x) = a_0 x^{p^0} + (a_0 + a_1)x^{p^1} + \cdots + (a_0 + \cdots + a_{e-2})x^{p^{e-2}},$$

for $x \in \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}^{-1}(0)$. Therefore, for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$,

$$g_{n,p}(x) = \begin{cases} a_0 x^{p^0} + (a_0 + a_1)x^{p^1} + \cdots + (a_0 + \cdots + a_{e-2})x^{p^{e-2}} & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x) = 0,\\ -x^\alpha & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x) \ne 0.\end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Clearly, $g_{n,p}$ maps $\mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}^{-1}(0)$ to itself. Write $\alpha = p^l + s\frac{p^e-1}{p-1}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$x^\alpha = x^{p^l}\mathrm{N}_{p^e/p}(x)^s, \qquad x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}. \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.2), $\mathtt{x}^\alpha$ maps $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e} : \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x) \ne 0\}$ to itself. We claim that $\gcd(\alpha, p^e - 1) = 1$. By (i), $\gcd(\alpha, \frac{p^e-1}{p-1}) = 1$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\equiv \alpha p + \beta - \beta \pmod{p-1}\\ &\equiv (\alpha p + \beta)^\dagger - \beta \pmod{p-1}\\ &\equiv w_p\big((\alpha p + \beta)^\dagger\big) - w_p(\beta) \pmod{p-1}\\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

So $\gcd(\alpha, p - 1) = 1$. Hence the claim is proved. Now $\mathtt{x}^\alpha$ maps $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e} : \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x) \ne 0\}$ bijectively to itself. By (3.1), $g_{n,p}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ if and only if the p-linearized polynomial $L = a_0\mathtt{x}^{p^0} + (a_0 + a_1)\mathtt{x}^{p^1} + \cdots + (a_0 + \cdots + a_{e-2})\mathtt{x}^{p^{e-2}}$ is 1-1 on $\mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}^{-1}(0)$. This happens if and only if $\gcd(L(\mathtt{x}^p-\mathtt{x}),\mathtt{x}^{p^e}-\mathtt{x})=\mathtt{x}^p-\mathtt{x}$, which, by [10, Theorem 3.62], is equivalent to

$$\gcd(a_0+a_1\mathtt{x}+\cdots+a_{e-1}\mathtt{x}^{e-1},\ \mathtt{x}^e-1)=\mathtt{x}-1.$$

2° Assume $p=2$. The only difference from 1° is that in (3.1), we have

$$g_{n,2}(x)=a_0x^{2^0}+(a_0+a_1)x^{2^1}+\cdots+(a_0+\cdots+a_{e-2})x^{2^{e-2}}+1\quad \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{2^e/2}(x)=0;$$

see [8, Lemma 3.3]. Since e is even, $a_0\mathtt{x}^{2^0}+(a_0+a_1)\mathtt{x}^{2^1}+\cdots+(a_0+\cdots+a_{e-2})\mathtt{x}^{2^{e-2}}+1$ still maps $\mathrm{Tr}_{2^e/2}^{-1}(0)$ to itself. □

The next theorem is a generalization of [8, Theorem 3.9].

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $e>1$ *and* $n=\alpha(p^{0e}+\cdots+p^{(p-1)e})+\beta$, *where*

(i) $0\le\alpha<p^e-1$, $\alpha\equiv p^l-1 \pmod{\frac{p^e-1}{p-1}}$ *for some* $0\le l<e$*;*
(ii) $\beta=b+(p-b)p$ *for some* $0<b<p$*;*
(iii) $w_p\big((\alpha p+\beta)^\dagger\big)=p$.

Write $(\alpha p+\beta)^\dagger=a_0p^0+\cdots+a_{e-1}p^{e-1}$, $0\le a_i\le p-1$. *Then* $(n,e;p)$ *is desirable if and only if*

$$\gcd(a_0+a_1\mathtt{x}+\cdots+a_{e-1}\mathtt{x}^{e-1},\mathtt{x}^e-1)=\mathtt{x}-1.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. Recall that for $x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$,

$$g_{n,p}(x)=\begin{cases} g_{\alpha p+\beta,p}(x) & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x)=0,\\ x^\alpha g_{\beta,p}(x) & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x)\ne 0.\end{cases}$$

By [8, Lemma 3.3], we have

$$x^\alpha g_{\beta,p}(x)=x^\alpha bx=bx^{\alpha+1}=bx^{p^l}\mathrm{N}_{p^e/p}(x)^s,\qquad x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e},$$

where $\alpha+1=p^l+s\frac{p^e-1}{p-1}$, $s\in\mathbb{Z}$. The rest of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.1. □

For descriptions of the integers α,β satisfying the conditions in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, see Appendix B.

Remark 3.3. In Theorem 3.2, condition (ii) can be weakened as

$$\beta=b+b_0p+b_1p^{1+e}+\cdots+b_{p-1}p^{1+(p-1)e},$$

where $0<b<p$, $b_i\ge 0$, $b+b_0+\cdots+b_{p-1}=p$, $b_1+2b_2+\cdots+(p-1)b_{p-1}\equiv 0 \pmod p$. Under these conditions we have

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{\beta,p}\\ &\equiv b\mathtt{x}+(b+b_0)S_e+(b+b_0+b_1)S_e+\cdots+(b+b_0+\cdots+b_{p-1})S_e \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{p^e}-\mathtt{x}}\\ &=b\mathtt{x}.\end{aligned}$$

So the proof of Theorem 3.2 goes through.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* L *be a* q*-linearized polynomial over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *which is a PP of* $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$*. Let* $s\ge 0$ *be an integer such that* $\gcd(se+1,q-1)=1$. *Then* $\mathrm{N}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^sL(\mathtt{x})$ *is a PP of* $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$*.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\mathrm{N}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^s L(\mathtt{x})$ is 1-1 on $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}^*$. Assume $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}^*$ such that

$$\mathrm{N}_{q^e/q}(x)^s L(x) = \mathrm{N}_{q^e/q}(y)^s L(y).$$

Then

$$L\big(\mathrm{N}_{q^e/q}(x)^s x\big) = L\big(\mathrm{N}_{q^e/q}(y)^s y\big).$$

Since L is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, we have $\mathrm{N}_{q^e/q}(x)^s x = \mathrm{N}_{q^e/q}(y)^s y$, i.e.,

$$\Big(\frac{x}{y}\Big)^{s(1+q+\cdots+q^{e-1})+1} = 1.$$

Since $\frac{x}{y} = \mathrm{N}_{q^e/q}(\frac{y}{x})^s \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $\gcd\big(s(1+q+\cdots+q^{e-1})+1,\, q-1\big) = \gcd(se+1, q-1) = 1$, we have $\frac{x}{y} = 1$, i.e., $x = y$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\alpha = s\frac{p^e-1}{p-1}$, $0 \le s < p-1$, and $0 < \beta < p^{pe}$ such that*

(i) $w_p(\beta) = p$;
(ii) $w_p\big((\alpha p + \beta)^\dagger\big) = p$;
(iii) $\gcd(se+1, p-1) = 1$.

Let $(\alpha p + \beta)^\dagger = a_0 p^0 + \cdots + a_{e-1}p^{e-1}$ and $\beta = b_0 p^0 + \cdots + b_{pe-1}p^{pe-1}$ be the base p representations. Assume that

$$\gcd(a_0 + a_1\mathtt{x} + \cdots + a_{e-1}\mathtt{x}^{e-1}, \mathtt{x}^e - 1) = \mathtt{x} - 1 \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\gcd\big(b_0 + (b_0+b_1)\mathtt{x} + \cdots + (b_0 + \cdots + b_{pe-2})\mathtt{x}^{pe-2}, \mathtt{x}^e - 1) = 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Then $(n, e; p)$ is a desirable triple, where $n = \alpha(p^{0e} + p^{1e} + \cdots + p^{(p-1)e}) + \beta$.

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$, we have

$$g_{n,p}(x) = \begin{cases} g_{\alpha p+\beta,p}(x) & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x) = 0,\\ x^\alpha g_{\beta,p}(x) = \mathrm{N}_{p^e/p}(x)^s g_{\beta,p}(x) & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x) \ne 0.\end{cases}$$

By (ii) and (3.3), $g_{\alpha p+\beta,p}$ is a permutation of $\mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}^{-1}(0)$. By (i) and (3.4), $g_{\beta,p}$ is a p-linearized PP of $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$. By Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{N}_{p^e/p}(\mathtt{x})^s g_{\beta,p}(\mathtt{x})$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{N}_{p^e/p}(\mathtt{x})^s g_{\beta,p}(\mathtt{x})$ maps $\mathbb{F}_{p^e} \setminus \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}^{-1}(0)$ to itself: for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e} \setminus \mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}^{-1}(0)$, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}\big(\mathrm{N}_{p^e/p}(x)^s g_{\beta,p}(x)\big) = \mathrm{N}_{p^e/p}(x)^s g_{\beta,p}\big(\mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x)\big) \ne 0$ since $\mathrm{Tr}_{p^e/p}(x) \ne 0$. Hence $g_{n,p}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let $e \ge 3$ and $n = (3^{e-1} + 3^{e-2} - 1)(1 + 3^e + 3^{2e}) + 2 + 3^{e-2} + 3^{2e-2}$. Then $(n, e; 3)$ is a desirable triple.*

Proof. Let $\alpha = 3^{e-1} + 3^{e-2} - 1$ and $\beta = 2 + 3^{e-2} + 3^{2e-2}$. Then

$$(3\alpha + \beta)^\dagger = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0, 2, 1}_{e})_3.$$

By (1.2) and [8, Lemma 3.3], for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{3^e}$, we have

$$g_{n,3}(x) = \begin{cases} -x^{3^{e-2}} & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}(x) = 0,\\ x^\alpha g_{\beta,3}(x) & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}(x) \ne 0.\end{cases}$$

It remains to show that $\mathtt{x}^\alpha g_{\beta,3}$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{3^e} \setminus \mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}^{-1}(0)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\beta,3} &= g_{3+3^{e-2},3} + S_{2e-2}\cdot g_{2+3^{e-2},3} && \text{(by [8, (4.1)])}\\
&= -1 + S_{2e-2}\cdot(-S_{e-2}) && \text{(by [8, Lemma 3.3])}\\
&\equiv -1 - (2S_e - S_2^{3^{e-2}})(S_e - S_2^{3^{e-2}}) && \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{3^e} - \mathtt{x}}\\
&= -1 + (S_e + S_2^{3^{e-2}})(S_e - S_2^{3^{e-2}})\\
&= -1 + S_e^2 - (S_2^{3^{e-2}})^2\\
&= -1 + \mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}(\mathtt{x})^2 - (S_2^{3^{e-2}})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So, for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{3^e} \setminus \mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}^{-1}(0)$,

$$g_{\beta,3}(x) = -\bigl(S_2^{3^{e-2}}(x)\bigr)^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
-x^{\alpha} g_{\beta,3}(x) &= x^{3^{e-1}+3^{e-2}-1}\bigl(x^{3^{e-2}} + x^{3^{e-1}}\bigr)^2\\
&= x^{3^{e-1}+3^{e-2}-1}\bigl(x^{2\cdot 3^{e-2}} + x^{2\cdot 3^{e-1}} - x^{3^{e-1}+3^{e-2}}\bigr)\\
&= x^{3^{e-1}+3^{e-2}-1+5\cdot 3^{e-2}}\bigl(x^{-3^{e-1}} + x^{3^{e-2}} - x^{-3^{e-2}}\bigr)\\
&= x^{-3^{e-1}} + x^{3^{e-2}} - x^{-3^{e-2}}\\
&= y - y^{-1} + y^{-3} \qquad (y = x^{3^{e-2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

It is known that $\mathtt{y} - \mathtt{y}^{-1} + \mathtt{y}^{-3}$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{3^e} \setminus \mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}^{-1}(0)$ ([8, Proof of Proposition 3.10] or [14, Lemma 5.1]). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.6. □

Question. Is it possible to generalize Theorem 3.6 to an arbitrary p?

Lemma 3.7. *Let* $n = (q-1)(q^{a_1 e} + \cdots + q^{a_s e})$, *where* $0 \le a_1 < \cdots < a_s < p$. *Then for* $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ *with* $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(x) \neq 0$, *we have*

$$g_{n,q}(x) = -s.$$

We will need a few formulas for the proof of Lemma 3.7. First, from [8, (4.1)], we have

$$(S_a - S_b)g_{n,q} = g_{n+q^a,q} - g_{n+q^b,q}. \tag{3.5}$$

Also note that

$$S_a - S_b \equiv S_{a-b} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}} \quad \text{if } b \equiv 0 \text{ or } a \pmod{e}.$$

If $a < 0$, we define $S_a = S_{pe+a}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.7. We use induction on s. We will write g_m for $g_{m,q}$.

When $s = 0$, the claim is trivially true. When $s = 1$, $n = (q-1)q^{a_1 e}$, and we have $g_n = -1$.

Now assume $s > 1$. In the following calculation, "$\equiv$" means "$\equiv \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}}$". We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_{(a_2-a_1)e} \cdot g_n \\
\equiv\ & g_{n+q^{a_2e}} - g_{n+q^{a_1e}} \\
=\ & g_{(q-1)(q^{a_1e}+q^{a_3e}+\cdots+q^{a_se})+q^{a_2e+1}} - g_{(q-1)(q^{a_2e}+\cdots+q^{a_se})+q^{a_1e+1}} \\
\equiv\ & g_{(q-1)(q^{a_3e}+\cdots+q^{a_se})+q^{a_1e+1}} + S_{(a_2-a_1)e+1} \cdot g_{(q-1)(q^{a_1e}+q^{a_3e}+\cdots+q^{a_se})} \\
&\ - g_{(q-1)(q^{a_3e}+\cdots+q^{a_se})+q^{a_2e+1}} - S_{(a_1-a_2)e+1} \cdot g_{(q-1)(q^{a_2e}+\cdots+q^{a_se})} \\
\equiv\ & S_{a_1e+1-(a_2e+1)} \cdot g_{(q-1)(q^{a_3e}+\cdots+q^{a_se})} - (s-1)S_{2(a_2-a_1)e} \qquad \text{(induction hypothesis)} \\
\equiv\ & -(s-2)S_{(a_1-a_2)e} - 2(s-1)S_{(a_2-a_1)e} \qquad \text{(induction hypothesis)} \\
\equiv\ & [s-2-2(s-1)]S_{(a_2-a_1)e} \\
=\ & -sS_{(a_2-a_1)e}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $S_{(a_2-a_1)e}(x) = (a_2 - a_1)S_e(x) = (a_2 - a_1)\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(x) \neq 0$, we have $g_n(x) = -s$. ☐

Theorem 3.8. *Let* $e > 2$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta = 2(1 + 3^e) + 3^{2e+2}$ *such that*

(i) $w_3((3\alpha + \beta)^\dagger) = 3$,

(ii) $\alpha + 3 = 3^l + s\frac{3^e-1}{2}$, *where* $0 \leq l < e$, $s \geq 0$, *and* $se \equiv 0 \pmod 2$.

Let $n = \alpha(1 + 3^e + 3^{2e}) + \beta$. *Write* $(3\alpha + \beta)^\dagger = a_0 3^0 + \cdots + a_{e-1}3^{e-1}$, $0 \leq a_i \leq 2$. *Then* $(n, e; 3)$ *is a desirable triple if and only*

$$\gcd(a_0 + \cdots + a_{e-1}\mathtt{x}^{e-1}, \mathtt{x}^e - 1) = \mathtt{x} - 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{F}_{3^e}$ we have

$$g_{n,3}(x) = \begin{cases} g_{3\alpha+\beta,3}(x) & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}(x) = 0, \\ x^\alpha g_{\beta,3}(x) & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}(x) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

$g_{3\alpha+\beta,3}$ maps $\mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}^{-1}(0)$ to itself; it is 1-1 on $\mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}^{-1}(0)$ if and only if (3.6) holds. Therefore it remains to show that $\mathtt{x}^\alpha g_{\beta,3}$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{3^e} \setminus \mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}^{-1}(0)$.

For $x \in \mathbb{F}_{3^e} \setminus \mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}^{-1}(0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\beta,3}(x) &= g_{2(1+3^e)+3^{2e+2},3}(x) \\
&= g_{3+2\cdot 3^e,3}(x) + S_{2e+2}(x) \cdot g_{2(1+3^e),3}(x) \\
&= S_e(x) - x + S_{2e+2}(x)(-2) \qquad \text{(by Lemma 3.7)} \\
&= S_e(x) - x - 2(2S_e + S_2) \\
&= -x + S_2 \\
&= x^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$x^\alpha g_{\beta,3}(x) = x^{\alpha+3} = \mathrm{N}_{3^e/3}(x)^s x^{3^l}.$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{N}_{3^e/3}(\mathtt{x})^s \mathtt{x}^{3^l}$ maps $\mathbb{F}_{3^e} \setminus \mathrm{Tr}_{3^e/3}^{-1}(0)$ to itself. Since $\gcd(3^l + s\frac{3^e-1}{2}, 3^e - 1) = \gcd(se + 1, 2) = 1$, this map is bijective. ☐

Example 3.9. Let $e = 4$, $\alpha = 1 + 3^2 + 2 \cdot 3^3$, and $\beta = 2(1 + 3^4) + 3^{2\cdot 4+2}$. Then the conditions in Theorem 3.8 are satisfied: We have $\alpha + 3 = 3^3 + \frac{3^4-1}{2}$,

$(3\alpha+\beta)^\dagger = (0,0,2,1)_3$, which has weight 3, and $\gcd(2\mathtt{x}^2+\mathtt{x}^3, \mathtt{x}^4-1) = \mathtt{x}-1$. Thus $(n,4;3)$ is desirable, where

$$n = \alpha(1+3^4+3^{2\cdot 4})+\beta = (0,1,1,2,0,1,1,2,1,0,2,2)_3.$$

This desirable triple is equivalent to the entry $(107765,4;3)$ in Table 2.

4. Sporadic Cases?

4.1. **The triple** $(101,3;3)$.

In Table 3 of [8], the first unexplained case of desirable triple is $(101,3;3)$, where $101 = 2\cdot 3^0 + 2\cdot 3^2 + 3^4$. The result of this subsection (Theorem 4.1) suggests that this might be a sporadic case.

By [8, Eq. (4.1) and Lemma 3.3], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{101,3}(\mathtt{x}) &= g_{2\cdot 3^0+2\cdot 3^2+3^4,\,3}\\
&= g_{3+2\cdot 3^2,\,3} + S_4\cdot g_{2+2\cdot 3^2,\,3}\\
&= \mathtt{x}^3 + S_4\cdot(g_{3+3^2,\,3} + S_2\cdot g_{2+3^2,\,3})\\
&= \mathtt{x}^3 + S_4\cdot\bigl(-1+S_2\cdot(-\mathtt{x}-\mathtt{x}^3)\bigr)\\
&= -\mathtt{x}-\mathtt{x}^3-\mathtt{x}^3-\mathtt{x}^{3^2}+\mathtt{x}^{3^2}+\mathtt{x}-S_4\cdot\bigl(1+(\mathtt{x}+\mathtt{x}^3)^2\bigr)\\
&= -\mathtt{y}-\mathtt{y}^3+\mathtt{y}^{3^2}-(\mathtt{y}+\mathtt{y}^{3^2})(1+\mathtt{y}^2)\\
&= \mathtt{y}+\mathtt{y}^3-\mathtt{y}^{11},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathtt{y} = \mathtt{x}+\mathtt{x}^3$, which is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{3^3}$. We can further write

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{101,3}(\mathtt{x}) &= \mathtt{y}+\mathtt{y}^3+\mathtt{y}^{3^2}-\mathtt{y}^{3^2}-\mathtt{y}^{11}\\
&= \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\mathtt{y}) - \mathtt{y}^8(\mathtt{y}+\mathtt{y}^3+\mathtt{y}^{3^2}) + \mathtt{y}^{17}\\
&= (1-\mathtt{y}^8)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\mathtt{y}) + \mathtt{y}^{17}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $x' \in \mathbb{F}_{3^3}^*$ and $y = x'+x'^3$, we have

$$g_{101,3}(x') = (1-y^8)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(y) + y^{17} = (1-x^2)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Bigl(\frac{1}{x}\Bigr) + x,$$

where $x = y^{-9} = (x'+x'^3)^{-9}$. So the fact that $g_{101,3}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{3^3}$ is equivalent to the fact that the function

$$f(x) = (1-x^2)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Bigl(\frac{1}{x}\Bigr) + x \tag{4.1}$$

is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{3^3}^*$.

In next theorem (and its proof), we investigate some peculiar properties of f in (4.1) as a function defined on $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}^*$.

Theorem 4.1. *f is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}^*$ if and only if $q = 3$.*

Proof. ($\Leftarrow$) This part is easily verified by computer. However, to illustrate the peculiarity of the function f, we include a computer-free proof.

We will show that for every $z \in \mathbb{F}_{3^3}^*$, there exists an $x \in \mathbb{F}_{3^3}^*$ such that

$$(1-x^2)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Bigl(\frac{1}{x}\Bigr) + x = z. \tag{4.2}$$

(The solution x of (4.2) will be explicitly determined in the proof.)

If $\text{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{z}) = 0$, then $x = z$ is the desired solution. So assume $\text{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{z}) \neq 0$. Replacing z and x by $-z$ and $-x$ in (4.2) if necessary, we may assume $\text{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{z}) = 1$. Then $z \in \mathbb{F}_{3^3} \setminus \mathbb{F}_3$ and

$$z - 1 = az^2(z + b) \qquad \text{for some } a \in \mathbb{F}_3^*,\ b \in \mathbb{F}_3. \tag{4.3}$$

Eq. (4.2) has two cases:

$$\text{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Bigl(\frac{1}{x}\Bigr) = 1, \qquad 1 - x^2 + x = z,$$

and

$$\text{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Bigl(\frac{1}{x}\Bigr) = -1, \qquad -(1 - x^2) + x = z.$$

Thus it suffices to show that one of the following systems has a solution in $\mathbb{F}_{3^3}^*$.

$$\begin{cases} x^2 - x - 1 + z = 0, \\ \text{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Bigl(\dfrac{1}{x}\Bigr) = 1; \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\begin{cases} x^2 + x - 1 - z = 0, \\ \text{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Bigl(\dfrac{1}{x}\Bigr) = -1. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

The solutions of the quadratic equation in (4.4) are given by $x = -1 + w$, where $w^2 = -z - 1$; the solutions of the quadratic equation in (4.5) are given by $x = 1 + u$, where $u^2 = z - 1$.

Case 1. Assume $b = 0$ in (4.3). Since z has degree 3 over $\mathbb{F}_3$, we must have $a = 1$. So

$$z - 1 = z^3. \tag{4.6}$$

It follows that

$$-z^2 = z^3 - z^2 - z + 1 = (z - 1)(z^2 - 1) = (z - 1)^2(z + 1),$$

i.e.,

$$-z - 1 = \Bigl(\frac{z}{z - 1}\Bigr)^2.$$

Let $w = -\frac{z}{z-1}$. Then $x = -1 + w = \frac{z+1}{z-1}$ is a root of the quadratic equation in (4.4). Moreover,

$$\text{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Bigl(\frac{1}{x}\Bigr) = \text{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Bigl(\frac{z - 1}{z + 1}\Bigr) = \text{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Bigl(1 + \frac{1}{z + 1}\Bigr) = 1.$$

(It follows easily from (4.6) that $\text{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{z+1}) = 1$.)

Case 2. Assume $b \neq 0$ in (4.3). Since z has degree 3 over $\mathbb{F}_3$, we must have $b = 1$.

Case 2.1. Assume $a = 1$. Then (4.3) becomes

$$z - 1 = z^2(z + 1). \tag{4.7}$$

Thus

$$1 = z^2(z + 1) - (z + 1) = (z + 1)(z^2 - 1) = (z + 1)^2(z - 1),$$

i.e.,

$$z - 1 = \Bigl(\frac{1}{z + 1}\Bigr)^2.$$

Let $u = \frac{1}{z+1}$. Then $x = 1 + u = \frac{z-1}{z+1}$ is a root of the quadratic equation in (4.5). Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\frac{1}{x}\Big) = \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\Big) = \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(1 - \frac{1}{z-1}\Big) = -1.$$

(It follows easily from (4.7) that $\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{z-1}) = 1$.)

Case 2.2. Assume $a = -1$. Then (4.3) becomes

$$z - 1 = -z^2(z+1).$$

It follows that

$$(z+1)^3 = -(z-1)^2, \tag{4.8}$$

i.e.,

$$(-z-1)^3 = (z-1)^2.$$

Let $w^3 = z - 1$. Then $x = -1 + w$ is a root of the quadratic equation in (4.4). Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\frac{1}{x}\Big) = \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\frac{1}{x^3}\Big) = \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\frac{1}{-1+w^3}\Big) = \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\frac{1}{z+1}\Big) = 1.$$

(It follows easily from (4.8) that $\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{z+1}) = 1$.)

($\Rightarrow$) We show that if $q \neq 3$, then f is a not a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}^*$.

In general,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (1-x^2)(x^{-1} + x^{-q} + x^{-q^2}) + x, \\ &= x^{-1} + x^{-q} + x^{-q^2} - x^{2-q} - x^{2-q^2} \\ &= y + y^q + y^{q^2} - y^{q-2} - y^{q^2-2} \\ &= g(y), \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $y = x^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3}^*$, and $g(\mathrm{y}) = \mathrm{y} + \mathrm{y}^q + \mathrm{y}^{q^2} - \mathrm{y}^{q-2} - \mathrm{y}^{q^2-2}$.

First assume $q = 2$. We have

$$g(y) = y^4 + y + 1, \qquad y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^3}^*.$$

It is obvious that g is not 1-1 on $\mathbb{F}_{2^3}^*$.

Now Assume $q > 3$. We show that g is not a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$. (Since $g(0) = 0$, it follows from (4.9) that f is not a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}^*$.)

Case 1. Assume $q > 3$ is odd. We have

$$g(\mathrm{y})^{2q^2+2} \equiv 8\mathrm{y}^{q^3-1} + \text{terms of lower degree} \pmod{\mathrm{y}^{q^3} - \mathrm{y}}.$$

(The complete expression of $g^{2q^2+2} \pmod{\mathrm{y}^{q^3} - \mathrm{y}}$ is given in Appendix C.) By Hermite's criterion, g is not a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$.

Case 2. Assume $q > 3$ is even. We have

$$g(\mathrm{y})^{2q^2+q+3} \equiv \mathrm{y}^{q^3-1} + \text{terms of lower degree} \pmod{\mathrm{y}^{q^3} - \mathrm{y}}.$$

(The complete expression of $g^{2q^2+q+3} \pmod{\mathrm{y}^{q^3} - \mathrm{y}}$ is given in Appendix C.) By Hermite's criterion, g is not a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$.

□

4.2. **The triple** $(407, 3; 3)$.

The second unexplained case of desirable triple in Table 3 of [8] is $(407, 3; 3)$, where $407 = 2 \cdot 3^0 + 2 \cdot 3^4 + 3^5$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{407,3}(\mathtt{x})\\
&= g_{2\cdot 3^0+2\cdot 3^4+3^5,\,3}\\
&= g_{3+2\cdot 3^4,\,3} + S_5 \cdot g_{2+2\cdot 3^4,\,3}\\
&= g_{3+2\cdot 3^4,\,3} + S_5 \cdot (g_{3+3^4,\,3} + S_4 \cdot g_{2+3^4,\,3})\\
&= \mathtt{x}^3 + \mathtt{x}^{3^2} + \mathtt{x}^{3^3} + S_5 \cdot (-1 + S_4 \cdot (-\mathtt{x} - \mathtt{x}^3 - \mathtt{x}^{3^2} - \mathtt{x}^{3^3}))\\
&\equiv \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\mathtt{x}) - S_5(1 + S_4^2) \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{3^3} - \mathtt{x}}\\
&\equiv \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\mathtt{x}) + S_4^{3^2}(1 + S_4^2) \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{3^3} - \mathtt{x}} \qquad (S_5 \equiv -S_4^{3^2} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{3^3} - \mathtt{x}})\\
&\equiv \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\mathtt{y}) + \mathtt{y}^{3^2}(1 + \mathtt{y}^2) \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{3^3} - \mathtt{x}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathtt{y} = S_4(\mathtt{x})$, which is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{3^3}$. We can further write

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{407,3}(\mathtt{x}) &\equiv \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\mathtt{y}) + \mathtt{y}^8\big(\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\mathtt{y}) - \mathtt{y}^{3^2}\big) \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{3^3} - \mathtt{x}}\\
&= (1 + \mathtt{y}^8)\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\mathtt{y}) - \mathtt{y}^{17}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $x' \in \mathbb{F}_{3^3}^*$, $y = S_4(x')$, we have

$$g_{407,3}(x') = (1 + y^8)\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(y) - y^{17} = (1 + x^2)\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\frac{1}{x}\Big) - x,$$

where $x = y^{-9} = S_4(x')^{-9}$. The function $h(x) = (1 + x^2)\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{x}) - x$ is very similar to the function f in (4.1), but they do not seem to be related through a simple substitution. The behavior of h is also similar to that of f.

Theorem 4.2. *h is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}^*$ if and only if $q = 3$.*

Proof. The proof of the "only if" part is the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

For the "if" part, the calculation is a little different from that in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $z \in \mathbb{F}_{3^3}$. We try to solve the equation

$$(1 + x^2)\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\frac{1}{x}\Big) - x = z \tag{4.10}$$

in $\mathbb{F}_{3^3}^*$.

If $\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{z}) = 0$, $x = -z$ is the solution. If $\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{z}) \neq 0$, we may assume $\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{z}) = 1$. Then

$$z - 1 = az^2(z + b), \quad (a, b) = (1, 0),\ (1, 1),\ (-1, 1). \tag{4.11}$$

We show that one of the following systems has a solution $x \in \mathbb{F}_{3^3}^*$:

$$\begin{cases} x^2 - x + 1 - z = 0,\\ \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\dfrac{1}{x}\Big) = 1; \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

$$\begin{cases} x^2 + x + 1 + z = 0,\\ \mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\dfrac{1}{x}\Big) = -1. \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

The solutions of the quadratic equation in (4.12) are $x = -1 + w$, where $w^2 = z$; the solutions of the quadratic equation in (4.13) are $x = 1 + u$, where $u^2 = -z$.

Case 1. Assume $(a,b)=(1,0)$. Then $z-1=z^3$, from which we have $-z=(\frac{z-1}{z+1})^2$. Let $u=\frac{z-1}{z+1}$. Then $x=1+u=-\frac{z}{z+1}$ is a solution of the quadratic equation in (4.13), and $\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{x})=\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(-1-\frac{1}{z})=-1$.

Case 2. Assume $(a,b)=(1,1)$. Then $z-1=z^2(z+1)$, from which we have $(-z)^3=(z+1)^2$. Let $u^3=-(z+1)$. Then $x=1+u$ is a solution of the quadratic equation in (4.13), and

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\frac{1}{x}\Big)=\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\frac{1}{x^3}\Big)=\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(\frac{1}{1-u^3}\Big)=\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}\Big(-\frac{1}{z}\Big)=-1.$$

Case 3. Assume $(a,b)=(-1,1)$. Then $z-1=-z^2(z+1)$, from which we have $z=(\frac{1}{z-1})^2$. Let $w=-\frac{1}{z-1}$. Then $x=-1+w=\frac{-z}{z-1}$ is a solution of the quadratic equation in (4.12), and $\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(\frac{1}{x})=\mathrm{Tr}_{3^3/3}(-1+\frac{1}{z})=1$. ☐

4.3. **The triple** $(91525, 4; 3)$.

This case is related to [8, Theorem 3.10]. We have $n=91525\sim_{(4,3)}\alpha(3^0+3^4+3^{2\cdot 4})-7$, where $\alpha=(2,2,1,1)_3=4+(1,1,1,1)_3$. Note that

$$(\alpha\cdot 3-7)^\dagger=(0,0,2,1)_3, \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$g_{-7,3}=\mathtt{x}^{-4}(-\mathtt{x}+\mathtt{x}^{-1}-\mathtt{x}^{-3}).$$

Thus for $x\in\mathbb{F}_{3^4}$,

$$g_{n,3}(x)=\begin{cases}-x^{3^2} & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{3^4/3}(x)=0,\\ x^\alpha g_{-7,3}(x)=\mathrm{N}_{3^4/3}(x)(-x+x^{-1}-x^{-3}) & \text{if } \mathrm{Tr}_{3^4/3}(x)\neq 0.\end{cases}$$

We only have to show that $\mathrm{N}_{3^4/3}(\mathtt{x})(-\mathtt{x}+\mathtt{x}^{-1}-\mathtt{x}^{-3})$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{3^4}\setminus\mathrm{Tr}_{3^4/3}^{-1}(0)$. For $x\in\mathbb{F}_{3^4}\setminus\mathrm{Tr}_{3^4/3}^{-1}(0)$, since $\mathrm{N}_{3^4/3}(x)^2=1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{N}_{3^4/3}(x)(-x+x^{-1}-x^{-3}) &= -x\mathrm{N}_{3^4/3}(x)+\big(x\mathrm{N}_{3^4/3}(x)\big)^{-1}-\big(x\mathrm{N}_{3^4/3}(x)\big)^{-3}\\ &= f\big(x\mathrm{N}_{3^4/3}(x)\big),\end{aligned}$$

where $f(\mathtt{x})=-\mathtt{x}+\mathtt{x}^{-1}-\mathtt{x}^{-3}$. Recall that $f(\mathtt{x})$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{3^4}\setminus\mathrm{Tr}_{3^4/3}^{-1}(0)$ ([8, Proof of Theorem 3.10]). Clearly, $\mathtt{x}\mathrm{N}_{3^4/s}(\mathtt{x})=\mathtt{x}^{1+\frac{3^4-1}{2}}$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{3^4}\setminus\mathrm{Tr}_{3^4/3}^{-1}(0)$. Hence $f\big(\mathtt{x}\mathrm{N}_{3^4/s}(\mathtt{x})\big)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{3^4}\setminus\mathrm{Tr}_{3^4/3}^{-1}(0)$.

A crucial argument in the above proof is that (4.14) gives $w_3((\alpha\cdot 3-7)^\dagger)=3$. However, this argument holds only for $e=4$. We have not found any generalization of the desirable triple $(91525, 4; 3)$.

5. THE CASE $n=q^a-q^b-1$

Our computer search turns out many desirable triples $(n,e;q)$ where n is of the form q^a-q^b-1. We shall see that such desirable triples are also interesting theoretically.

Assume $n>0$ and $n\equiv q^a-q^b-1 \pmod{q^{pe}-1}$ for some integers $a,b\geq 0$. Of course, we may assume $0\leq a,b<pe$. If $a=0$ or b, then $n\sim_{(e,q)} q^{pe}-2$, where

$(q^{pe-2}, e; q)$ is desirable if and only if $q > 2$ [8, Proposition 3.2 (i)]. If $b = 0$ and $a > 0$, we have $n \equiv q^a - 2 \pmod{q^{pe} - 1}$. By [8, Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.3],

$$\begin{aligned} g_{q^a-2,q} &= \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}}(g_{q^a+q-2,q} - g_{q^a-1,q}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}}\Bigl[-1 - \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}}(g_{q^a+q-1,q} - g_{q^a,q})\Bigr] \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}}\Bigl(-1 + \frac{S_a}{\mathtt{x}}\Bigr) \\ &= \frac{S_{a-1}^q}{\mathtt{x}^2} \\ &= \mathtt{x}^{q-2} + \mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} + \cdots + \mathtt{x}^{q^{a-1}-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

For which a, e and q is $g_{q^a-2,q}$ a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$? The complete answer is not known. We have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.1. *Let $e \geq 2$ and $2 \leq a < pe$. Then $(q^a - 2, e; q)$ is desirable if and only if*

- (i) *$a = 3$ and $q = 2$, or*
- (ii) *$a = 2$ and $\gcd(q-2, q^e-1) = 1$.*

Note. When q is even,

$$g_{q^a-2,q} = \Bigl(\frac{\mathtt{x}^{\frac12 q^1} + \mathtt{x}^{\frac12 q^2} + \cdots + \mathtt{x}^{\frac12 q^{a-1}}}{\mathtt{x}}\Bigr)^2,$$

and the claim of the conjecture follows from Payne's Theorem [5, §8.5], [6, 12, 13]. For a general q, the "if" part is obvious. So for the conjecture, one only has to prove that if q is odd, $e \geq 2$, and $a > 2$, then $(q^a - 2, e; q)$ is not desirable.

Now assume $n > 0$ and $n \equiv q^a - q^b - 1 \pmod{q^{pe} - 1}$, where $0 < a, b < pe$ and $a \neq b$. If $a < b$, we have

$$n \sim_{(e,q)} q^{pe-b} n \equiv q^{pe-b}(q^a - q^b - 1) \equiv q^{pe+a-b} - q^{pe-b} - 1 \pmod{q^{pe} - 1},$$

where $0 < pe - b < pe + a - b < pe$. Therefore we may assume $0 < b < a < pe$.

By [8, Eq. (4.1)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_b g_{q^a-q^b-1,q} &= g_{q^a-1,q} - g_{q^a-q^b,q} \\ &= g_{q^a-1,q} - (g_{q^{a-b}-1,q})^{q^b} \\ &= -\frac{S_a}{\mathtt{x}} + \Bigl(\frac{S_{a-b}}{\mathtt{x}}\Bigr)^{q^b} \\ &= -\frac{S_a - S_{a-b}^{q^b}}{\mathtt{x}} + \Bigl(\frac{1}{\mathtt{x}^{q^b}} - \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}}\Bigr) S_{a-b}^{q^b} \\ &= -\frac{S_b}{\mathtt{x}} - \frac{S_b^q - S_b}{\mathtt{x}^{q^b+1}} S_{a-b}^{q^b}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$g_{q^a-q^b-1,q} = -\frac{1}{\mathtt{x}} - \frac{(S_b^{q-1} - 1) S_{a-b}^{q^b}}{\mathtt{x}^{q^b+1}}. \tag{5.2}$$

(Note that (5.2) also holds for $b = 0$; see (5.1).) Assume $e \geq 2$. Write

$$a - b = a_0 + a_1 e, \quad b = b_0 + b_1 e,$$

where $a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \le a_0, b_0 < e$. Then from (5.2) we have

$$g_{q^a-q^b-1,q} \equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^e-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^e-q^{b_0}-2}(a_1S_e + S_{a_0}^{q^{b_0}})\big((b_1S_e + S_{b_0})^{q-1} - 1\big) \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}}. \tag{5.3}$$

Corollary 5.2. *We have*

$$g_{q^2-q-1,q} = -\mathtt{x}^{q-2}.$$

In particular, $(q^2-q-1, e; q)$ is desirable if and only if $q > 2$ and $\gcd(q-2, q^e-1) = 1$.

Proof. It follows from (5.2). □

The following theorem is a generalization of [8, Proposition 3.2 (i)].

Theorem 5.3. *Assume $e \ge 2$. Let $0 < b < a < pe$. Then*

$$g_{q^a-q^b-1,q} \equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^e-2} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}} \tag{5.4}$$

if and only if $a \equiv b \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$. In particular, if $0 < b < a < pe$, and $a \equiv b \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$, then $(q^a - q^b - 1, e; q)$ is a desirable triple.

Proof. ($\Leftarrow$) In the notation of (5.3), we have $a_0 = b_0 = 0$ and $0 < b_1 < p$. So

$$\begin{aligned} g_{q^a-q^b-1,q} &\equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^e-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^e-3}a_1S_e\big((b_1S_e)^{q-1} - 1\big) \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}} \\ &= -\mathtt{x}^{q^e-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^e-3}a_1S_e(S_e^{q-1} - 1) \\ &= -\mathtt{x}^{q^e-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^e-3}a_1(S_e^q - S_e) \\ &\equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^e-2} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

($\Rightarrow$) Assume (5.4) holds. Then by (5.2),

$$(\mathtt{x}^{q^b} - \mathtt{x})S_{a-b}^{q^b} = (S_b^q - S_b)S_{a-b}^{q^b} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}}.$$

For $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{x}]$, denote $\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q : f(x) = 0\}$ by $V(f)$, where $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $V(\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}) \subset V(\mathtt{x}^{q^b} - \mathtt{x}) \cup V(S_{a-b})$, i.e., $\mathbb{F}_{q^e} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^b} \cup V(S_{a-b})$. Since $V(S_{a-b})$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we must have $\mathbb{F}_{q^e} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^b}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{q^e} \subset V(S_{a-b})$. However, since $0 < a < pe$,

$$S_{a-b} = S_{a_1e+a_0} \equiv a_1S_e + S_{a_0} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}}.$$

So we must have $\mathbb{F}_{q^e} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^b}$. Hence $b \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$. Now by (5.3) and the calculation in (5.5), we have

$$S_{a_0}(S_e^{q-1} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}}. \tag{5.6}$$

If $a_0 > 0$, then

$$\deg S_{a_0}(S_e^{q-1} - 1) = (q-1)q^{e-1} + q^{a_0-1} = q^e - q^{e-1} + q^{a_0-1} < q^e,$$

which is a contradiction to (5.6). So we must have $a_0 = 0$, i.e., $a \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$. □

Remark 5.4. If $(q^a - q^b - 1, 2; q)$ is desirable, where $0 < b < a < 2p$ and $b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then we must have $a \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Otherwise, with $e = 2$, $a_0 = 1$, $b_0 = 0$ in (5.3), we have

$$g_{q^a-q^b-1,q} \equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^2-3}(a_1S_2 + \mathtt{x})\big((b_1S_2)^{q-1} - 1\big) \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^2} - \mathtt{x}}.$$

Then $g_{q^a-q^b-1,q}(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^2/q}(x) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

The results of our computer search suggest that when $e \geq 3$, the only desirable triples $(q^a - q^b - 1, e; q)$, $0 < b < a < pe$, are those given by Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 5.3.

Conjecture 5.5. *Let* $e \geq 3$ *and* $n = q^a - q^b - 1$, $0 < b < a < pe$. *Then* $(n, e; q)$ *is desirable if and only if*

(i) $a = 2$, $b = 1$, *and* $\gcd(q - 2, q^e - 1) = 1$, *or*
(ii) $a \equiv b \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$.

For the rest of this section, we will focus on desirable triples of the form $(q^a - q^b - 1, 2; q)$, $0 < b < a < 2p$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let* p *be an odd prime and* q *a power of* p.

(i) $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ *consists of the roots of* $(\mathtt{x} - \mathtt{x}^q)^{q-1} + 1$.
(ii) *Let* $0 < i \leq \frac{1}{2}(p - 1)$ *and* $n = q^{p+2i} - q^p - 1$. *Then*

$$g_{n,q}(x) = \begin{cases} (2i-1)x^{q-2} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{F}_q, \\ \dfrac{2i-1}{x} + \dfrac{2i}{x^q} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q. \end{cases}$$

(iii) *For the* n *in (ii),* $(n, 2; q)$ *is desirable if and only if* $4i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Proof. (i) We have

$$(\mathtt{x}^q - \mathtt{x})\big[(\mathtt{x} - \mathtt{x}^q)^{q-1} + 1\big] = -(\mathtt{x} - \mathtt{x}^q)^q + \mathtt{x}^q - \mathtt{x} = \mathtt{x}^{q^2} - \mathtt{x}.$$

Hence the claim.

(ii) Let $e = 2$, $a = p + 2i$, $b = p$. In the notation of (5.3), $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = i$, $b_0 = 1$, $b_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n,q} &\equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - i\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2} S_2\Big[\Big(-\frac{1}{2}S_2 + \mathtt{x}\Big)^{q-1} - 1\Big] \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^2} - \mathtt{x}} \\ &= -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - i\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}(\mathtt{x} + \mathtt{x}^q)\big[(\mathtt{x} - \mathtt{x}^q)^{q-1} - 1\big]. \end{aligned}$$

When $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $x - x^q = 0$, so

$$g_{n,q}(x) = -x^{q^2-2} + ix^{q^2-q-2}(x + x^q) = (2i - 1)x^{q-2}.$$

When $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$, by (i), $(x - x^q)^{q-1} = -1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n,q}(x) &= -x^{-1} + 2ix^{q^2-q-2}(x + x^q) \\ &= -x^{-1} + 2ix^{q^2-q-1} + 2ix^{q^2-2} \\ &= (2i - 1)x^{-1} + 2ix^{-q}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Since $0 < 2i - 1 < p$, $(2i - 1)\mathtt{x}^{q-2}$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_q$. We claim that $(2i - 1)\mathtt{x}^{-1} + 2i\mathtt{x}^{-q}$ maps $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ to itself. In fact, for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$,

$$\Big[\frac{2i-1}{x} + \frac{2i}{x^q} - \Big(\frac{2i-1}{x} + \frac{2i}{x^q}\Big)^q\Big]^{q-1} = \Big(-\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^q}\Big)^{q-1} = \Big(\frac{x - x^q}{x^{q+1}}\Big)^{q-1} = -1$$

since $(x - x^q)^{q-1} = -1$.

Therefore, $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $(2i-1)\mathtt{x}^{-1} + 2i\mathtt{x}^{-q}$ is 1-1 on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$, i.e., if and only if $(2i - 1)\mathtt{x} + 2i\mathtt{x}^q$ is 1-1 on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. So, it remains to show that $(2i - 1)\mathtt{x} + 2i\mathtt{x}^q$ is 1-1 on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $4i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

($\Leftarrow$) Assume $4i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. We claim that $(2i-1)\mathtt{x} + 2i\mathtt{x}^q$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Otherwise, there exists $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $(2i-1)x + 2ix^q = 0$. Then $x^{q-1} = -\frac{2i-1}{2i}$. Hence

$$1 = (x^{q-1})^{q+1} = \Big(-\frac{2i-1}{2i}\Big)^{q+1} = \Big(\frac{2i-1}{2i}\Big)^2.$$

So $(2i-1)^2 \equiv (2i)^2 \pmod{p}$, i.e., $4i - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which is a contradiction.

($\Rightarrow$) Assume $4i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then $(2i-1)\mathtt{x} + 2i\mathtt{x}^q = 2i(\mathtt{x}^q - \mathtt{x})$, which is clearly not 1-1 on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. $\square$

Theorem 5.7. *Let p be an odd prime and q a power of p.*

(i) *Let $0 < i \le \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ and $n = q^{p+2i-1} - q^p - 1$. Then*

$$g_{n,q}(x) = \begin{cases} 2(i-1)x^{q-2} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{F}_q, \\ \dfrac{2i-1}{x} + \dfrac{2i-2}{x^q} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *For the n in (i), $(n, 2; q)$ is desirable if and only if $i > 1$ and $4i \not\equiv 3 \pmod{p}$.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 5.6. $\square$

Proposition 5.8. *Let p be an odd prime and $q = p^k$. Let $i > 0$. If i is even,*

$$g_{q^{p+i}-q^p-1,q} \equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - i\mathtt{x}^{q-2}\sum_{j=0}^{q-2}\mathtt{x}^{(q-1)j} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^2} - \mathtt{x}}.$$

If i is odd,

$$g_{q^{p+i}-q^p-1,q} \equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1} - i\mathtt{x}^{q-2}\sum_{j=0}^{q-2}\mathtt{x}^{(q-1)j} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^2} - \mathtt{x}}.$$

Proof. Let $n = q^{p+i} - q^p - 1$. Throughout the proof, "$\equiv$" means "$\equiv \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^2} - \mathtt{x}}$".

Case 1. Assume that i is even. Let $e = 2$, $a = p + i$, $b = p$. In the notation of (5.3), $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = \frac{i}{2}$, $b_0 = 1$, $b_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$. By (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n,q} &\equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}\frac{i}{2}S_2 \cdot \Big[\Big(-\frac{1}{2}S_2 + S_1\Big)^{q-1} - 1\Big] \\ &= -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \frac{i}{2}\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}(\mathtt{x} + \mathtt{x}^q)\big((\mathtt{x} - \mathtt{x}^q)^{q-1} - 1\big) \\ &= -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \frac{i}{2}\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1}(1 + \mathtt{x}^{q-1})\big(\mathtt{x}^{q-1}(1 - \mathtt{x}^{q-1})^{q-1} - 1\big). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(1 - \mathtt{x}^{q-1})^{q-1} = \frac{1 - \mathtt{x}^{(q-1)q}}{1 - \mathtt{x}^{q-1}} = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\mathtt{x}^{(q-1)j}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{n,q} &\equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \frac{i}{2}\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1}(1+\mathtt{x}^{q-1})\Bigl[\mathtt{x}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\mathtt{x}^{(q-1)j} - 1\Bigr] \\
&= -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \frac{i}{2}\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1}\Bigl[\sum_{j=1}^{q}\mathtt{x}^{(q-1)j} + \sum_{j=2}^{q+1}\mathtt{x}^{(q-1)j} - 1 - \mathtt{x}^{q-1}\Bigr] \\
&= -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \frac{i}{2}\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1}\cdot 2\sum_{j=2}^{q}\mathtt{x}^{(q-1)j} \\
&= -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - i\mathtt{x}^{q-2}\sum_{j=0}^{q-2}\mathtt{x}^{(q-1)j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. Assume that i is odd. In the notation of (5.3), $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = \frac{i-1}{2}$, $b_0 = 1$, $b_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$. By (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{n,q} \equiv &-\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}\Bigl(\frac{i-1}{2}S_2 + S_1^q\Bigr)\Bigl[\Bigl(-\frac{1}{2}S_2 + S_1\Bigr)^{q-1} - 1\Bigr] \\
= &-\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}\Bigl(-\frac{1}{2}S_2 + S_1^q\Bigr)\Bigl[\Bigl(-\frac{1}{2}S_2 + S_1\Bigr)^{q-1} - 1\Bigr] \\
&-\frac{i}{2}\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}S_2\cdot\Bigl[\Bigl(-\frac{1}{2}S_2 + S_1\Bigr)^{q-1} - 1\Bigr].
\end{aligned}$$

In the above,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}\Bigl(-\frac{1}{2}S_2 + S_1^q\Bigr)\Bigl[\Bigl(-\frac{1}{2}S_2 + S_1\Bigr)^{q-1} - 1\Bigr] \\
= &-\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}\,\frac{1}{2}\,(\mathtt{x}^q - \mathtt{x})\bigl((\mathtt{x} - \mathtt{x}^q)^{q-1} - 1\bigr) \\
= &-\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \frac{1}{2}\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}\bigl((\mathtt{x}^q - \mathtt{x})^q - (\mathtt{x}^q - \mathtt{x})\bigr) \\
\equiv &-\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \frac{1}{2}\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}\cdot 2(\mathtt{x} - \mathtt{x}^q) \\
= &-\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and, by the calculation in Case 1,

$$-\frac{i}{2}\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}S_2\cdot\Bigl[\Bigl(-\frac{1}{2}S_2 + S_1\Bigr)^{q-1} - 1\Bigr] \equiv -i\mathtt{x}^{q-2}\sum_{j=0}^{q-2}\mathtt{x}^{(q-1)j}.$$

So

$$g_{n,q} \equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1} - i\mathtt{x}^{q-2}\sum_{j=0}^{q-2}\mathtt{x}^{(q-1)j}.$$

□

Theorem 5.9. *Let* $q = 2^s$, $n = q^3 - q - 1$.

(i) *For* $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$,

$$g_{n,q}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ x^{q-2} + \mathrm{Tr}_{q^2/q}(x^{-1}) & \text{if } x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) $g_{n,q}$ *is a PP of* $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ *if and only if* s *is even.*

Proof. (i) It is obvious that $g(0) = 0$. Let $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. By (5.3) (with $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = 1$, $b_0 = 1$, $b_1 = 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n,q}(x) &= x^{-1} + x^{-q-1} S_2(x)(x^{q-1} + 1) \\ &= x^{-1} + x^{-q-1}(x + x^q)(x^{q-1} + 1) \\ &= x^{-1} + x^{q-2} + x^{-q} \\ &= x^{q-2} + \mathrm{Tr}_{q^2/q}(x^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) 1° We show that for every $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, the equation

$$x^{q-2} + x^{-1} + x^{-q} = c \tag{5.7}$$

has at most one solution $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$.

Assume that $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ is a solution of (5.7). Then

$$cx^{-q} = x^{-2} + x^{-q-1} + x^{-2q} = \mathrm{N}_{q^2/q}(x^{-1}) + \mathrm{Tr}_{q^2/q}(x^{-2}) \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Let $t = c^{-q}x = (cx^{-q})^{-q} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then $x = tc^q$. Making this substitution in (5.7), we have

$$\frac{1}{t}\left(c^{q(q-2)} + c^{-q} + c^{-1}\right) = c.$$

So

$$t = c^{-2} + c^{-2q} + c^{-q-1}.$$

Hence x is unique.

2° Assume s is even. We show that

$$x^{q-2} + \mathrm{Tr}_{q^2/q}(x^{-1}) = 0 \tag{5.8}$$

has no solution in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. Assume to the contrary that $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ is a solution of (5.8). Then $x^{q-2} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Since s is even, we have $\gcd(q-2, q^2-1) = 1$. So $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^2/q}(x^{-1}) = 0$, and $x^{q-2} = 0$, which is a contradiction.

3° Assume s is odd. We show that (5.8) has a solution in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$. Then $x^2 + x + 1 = 0$ and $x^3 = 1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} x^{q-2} + \mathrm{Tr}_{q^2/q}(x^{-1}) &= x^{q-2} + x^{-1} + x^{-q} \\ &= 1 + x^2 + x \qquad \text{(since } q \equiv 2 \pmod 3\text{)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.10. (i) *Assume $q > 2$. We have*

$$g_{q^{2i}-q-1,q} \equiv (i-1)\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1} - i\mathtt{x}^{q-2} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^2} - \mathtt{x}}.$$

(ii) *Assume that q is odd. Then $\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1} + \mathtt{x}^{q-2}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.*

(iii) *Assume that q is odd. Then $(q^{p+1} - q - 1, 2; q)$ is desirable if and only if $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.*

Proof. In the notation of (5.3), we have $e = 2$, $a = 2i$, $b = 1$, $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = i-1$, $b_0 = 1$, $b_1 = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g_{q^{2i}-q-1,q} &\equiv -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}\big((i-1)S_2 + \mathtt{x}^q\big)(\mathtt{x}^{q-1}-1) \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^2}-\mathtt{x}} \\ &= -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}\big((i-1)\mathtt{x} + i\mathtt{x}^q\big)(\mathtt{x}^{q-1}-1) \\ &= -\mathtt{x}^{q^2-2} - \mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-2}\big(-\mathtt{x}^q - (i-1)\mathtt{x} + i\mathtt{x}^{2q-1}\big) \\ &\equiv (i-1)\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1} - i\mathtt{x}^{q-2} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^2}-\mathtt{x}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) (⇐) Let $f = \mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1} + \mathtt{x}^{q-2}$. Then

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ x^{-q} + x^{q-2} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*. \end{cases}$$

1° We show that for every $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, the equation

$$x^{-q} + x^{q-2} = c \tag{5.9}$$

has at most one solution $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$.

Assume $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ is a solution of (5.9). Then

$$cx^{-q} = x^{-2q} + x^{-2} = \mathrm{Tr}_{q^2/q}(x^{-2}) \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Let $t = c^{-q}x = (cx^{-q})^{-q} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then $x = tc^q$. So (5.9) becomes

$$\frac{1}{t}\big(c^{-1} + c^{q(q-2)}\big) = c.$$

Thus $t = c^{-2} + c^{-2q}$. Hence x is unique.

2° We show that $x^{-q} + x^{q-2} = 0$ has no solution $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$.

Assume that $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ is a solution. Then $x^{2q-2} = -1$. Since $\frac{1}{2}(q+1)$ is odd, we have $-1 = (x^{2q-2})^{\frac{1}{2}(q+1)} = x^{q^2-1} = 1$, which is a contradiction.

(⇒) Assume to the contrary that $q \equiv -1 \pmod 4$. We show that $x^{-q}+x^{q-2} = 0$ has a solution $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. Since $4(q-1) \mid q^2-1$, there exists $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ with $o(x) = 4(q-1)$. Then $x^{2(q-1)} = -1$, i.e., $x^{-q} + x^{q-2} = 0$.

(iii) It follows from (i) and (ii). □

Table 1 in Appendix A contains all desirable triples $(q^a - q^b - 1, 2; q)$, $q \le 67$, $0 < b < a < 2p$, that are not covered by Corollary 5.2 and Theorems 5.3, 5.6, 5.7. Most entries in Table 1 have not been explained. We conclude this section with a conjecture that grew out of Theorem 5.10.

Conjecture 5.11. *Let $f = \mathtt{x}^{q-2} + t\mathtt{x}^{q^2-q-1}$, $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if one of the following occurs:*

- (i) $t = 1$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$;
- (ii) $t = -3$, $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$;
- (iii) $t = 3$, $q \equiv -1 \pmod 6$.

6. MORE RESULTS (MOSTLY WITH EVEN q)

This section primarily deals with the case of even characteristic. However, we remind the reader that in Lemmas 6.5, 6.7, 6.13 and Theorem 6.8, the characteristic is assumed to be arbitrary.

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $q \geq 4$ *be even, and let*

$$n = 1 + q^{a_1} + q^{b_1} + \cdots + q^{a_{q/2}} + q^{b_{q/2}},$$

where $a_i, b_i \geq 0$ *are integers. Then*

$$g_{n,q} = \sum_i S_{a_i} S_{b_i} + \sum_{i<j} (S_{a_i} + S_{b_i})(S_{a_j} + S_{b_j}).$$

Proof. We write g_n for $g_{n,q}$. By (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n &= g_{1+2q^{a_1}+q^{a_2}+q^{b_2}+\cdots+q^{a_{q/2}}+q^{b_{q/2}}} + (S_{b_1} - S_{a_1}) g_{1+q^{a_1}+q^{a_2}+q^{b_2}+\cdots+q^{a_{q/2}}+q^{b_{q/2}}} \\
&= g_{1+2q^{a_1}+q^{a_2}+q^{b_2}+\cdots+q^{a_{q/2}}+q^{b_{q/2}}} \\
&\quad + (S_{a_1} + S_{b_1})(S_{a_1} + S_{a_2} + S_{b_2} + \cdots + S_{a_{q/2}} + S_{b_{q/2}}) \\
&= \cdots\cdots \\
&= g_{1+2q^{a_1}+\cdots+2q^{a_{q/2}}} + \sum_{i=1}^{q/2} (S_{a_i} + S_{b_i}) \Big(S_{a_i} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{q/2} (S_{a_j} + S_{b_j}) \Big) \\
&= S_{a_1}^2 + \cdots + S_{a_{q/2}}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{q/2} (S_{a_i} + S_{b_i}) \Big(S_{a_i} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{q/2} (S_{a_j} + S_{b_j}) \Big) \\
&= \sum_i S_{a_i} S_{b_i} + \sum_{i<j} (S_{a_i} + S_{b_i})(S_{a_j} + S_{b_j}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 6.2. *Let* $q \geq 4$ *be even, and let*

$$n = t_0 + 2t_1 q^{a_1} + \cdots + 2t_k q^{a_k},$$

where $t_0, \ldots, t_k$ *and* $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ *are nonnegative integers with* $t_0 + 2t_1 + \cdots + 2t_k = q + 1$. *Then*

$$g_{n,q} = (t_1 S_{a_1} + \cdots + t_k S_{a_k})^2.$$

In particular, $g_{n,q}$ *is a PP of* $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ *if and only if*

$$\gcd\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i (1 + \mathtt{x} + \cdots + \mathtt{x}^{a_i - 1}),\ \mathtt{x}^e - 1 \Big) = 1.$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.1,

$$g_{n,q} = t_1 S_{a_1}^2 + \cdots + t_k S_{a_k}^2 = (t_1 S_{a_1} + \cdots + t_k S_{a_k})^2.$$

The rest is obvious. □

In Theorem 6.1, the mapping $g_{n,q} : \mathbb{F}_{q^e} \to \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ is quadratic in the multivariate sense, i.e., with the identification $\mathbb{F}_{q^e} \cong \mathbb{F}_q^e$. In general, it is difficult to tell whether a quadratic mapping is bijective. However, in some cases, such as Corollary 6.2, $g_{n,q}$ can be reduced to a suitable form which allows a quick determination whether it is a PP. Here are some additional examples of Theorem 6.1:

Example 6.3. Let $q = 2^s$, $s > 1$, $e > 1$ odd, $n = q^0 + (q-1)q^1 + q^2$. Then

$$g_{n,q} = S_1^2 + S_1 S_2 = \mathtt{x}^{q+1},$$

which is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Example 6.4. Let $q=4$, $e>1$, $n=q^0+q^1+q^e+q^{e+1}+q^a$, $a\ge 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n,q} &= S_1S_a+S_eS_{e+1}+(S_1+S_a)(S_e+S_{e+1})\\ &\equiv S_1S_a+S_eS_{e+1}+(S_1+S_a)S_1 \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e}-\mathtt{x}}\\ &= S_1^2+S_eS_{e+1}\\ &= \mathtt{x}^2+\mathtt{x}\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})+\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^2. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that when e is odd, $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Assume to the contrary that there exist $x,y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, $x\ne y$, such that $g_{n,q}(x)=g_{n,q}(y)$. From $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(g_{n,q}(x))=\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(g_{n,q}(y))$, we derive that $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(x)=\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(y)=c$. Then the equation $g_{n,q}(x)=g_{n,q}(y)$ becomes

$$(x+y+c)(x+y)=0.$$

So $x+y+c=0$. Thus $c=\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(c)=\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(x+y)=0$. Hence $(x+y)^2=0$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $n=(q-1)q^a+(q-1)q^b$, where $a,b\ge 0$. Then*

$$g_{n,q}=-1-(S_b-S_a)^{q-1}.$$

Proof. If $a=b$, then $n=(q-2)q^a+q^{a+1}$. By [8, Lemma 3.3], $g_{n,q}=-1$.

Now assume $a<b$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (S_b-S_a)g_{n,q} &= g_{(q-1)q^a+q^{b+1},q}-g_{q^{a+1}+(q-1)q^b,q}\\ &= -(\mathtt{x}^{q^a}+\cdots+\mathtt{x}^{q^b})-(\mathtt{x}^{q^{a+1}}+\cdots+\mathtt{x}^{q^{b-1}})\\ &= -(\mathtt{x}^{q^a}+\cdots+\mathtt{x}^{q^{b-1}})-(\mathtt{x}^{q^a}+\cdots+\mathtt{x}^{q^{b-1}})^q\\ &= -(S_b-S_a)-(S_b-S_a)^q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $g_{n,q}=-1-(S_b-S_a)^{q-1}$. □

Theorem 6.6. *Let $q=2^s$, $s>1$, $e>0$, and $n=(q-1)q^0+(q-1)q^e+2q^a$, $a\ge 0$. Then*

$$g_{n,q}\equiv \mathtt{x}\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})+\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^2+S_a^2\cdot\bigl(1+\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^{q-1}\bigr) \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e}-\mathtt{x}},$$

Assume that e is even and $\gcd(a,e)=1$. Then $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Proof. Write $g_n=g_{n,q}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g_n &= g_{q+q^a+(q-1)q^e}+S_a\cdot g_{(q-1)q^0+q^a+(q-1)q^e}\\ &= g_{q+q^{e+1}}+(S_a-S_e)g_{q+(q-1)q^e}+S_a\cdot\bigl(g_{q+(q-1)q^e}+S_a\cdot g_{(q-1)q^0+(q-1)q^e}\bigr)\\ &\equiv S_e(S_e+S_1)+S_a^2(1+S_e^{q-1}) \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e}-\mathtt{x}} \qquad \text{(Lemma 6.5)}\\ &= \mathtt{x}\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})+\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^2+S_a^2\cdot\bigl(1+\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^{q-1}\bigr). \end{aligned}$$

To prove that g_n is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, we assume that $g_n(x)=g_n(y)$, $x,y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, and try to show that $x=y$. From $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(g_n(x))=\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(g_n(y))$, we derive that $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(x)=\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(y)=c$. If $c=0$, the equation $g_n(x)=g_n(y)$ becomes $S_a(x)^2=S_a(y)^2$, i.e., $S_a(x+y)=0$. Since $\gcd(1+\mathtt{x}+\cdots+\mathtt{x}^{a-1},\mathtt{x}^e+1)=1$, we have $x=y$. If $c\ne 0$, the equation $g_n(x)=g_n(y)$ becomes $c(x+y)=0$, which also gives $x=y$. □

Lemma 6.7. *Let $a_1,\dots,a_q\ge 0$, and $n=(q-1)+q^{a_1}+\cdots+q^{a_q}$. Then*

$$g_{n,q}=-S_1-S_{a_1}-\cdots-S_{a_q}-S_{a_1}\cdots S_{a_q}.$$

Proof. Write $g_n = g_{n,q}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g_n &= g_{q+q^{a_2}+\cdots+q^{a_q}} + S_{a_1} \cdot g_{(q-1)+q^{a_2}+\cdots+q^{a_q}} \\ &= g_{q+q^{a_2}+\cdots+q^{a_q}} + S_{a_1} \cdot \bigl(g_{q+q^{a_3}+\cdots+q^{a_q}} + S_{a_2} \cdot g_{(q-1)+q^{a_3}+\cdots+q^{a_q}}\bigr) \\ &= g_{q+q^{a_2}+\cdots+q^{a_q}} - S_{a_1} + S_{a_1}S_{a_2} \cdot g_{(q-1)+q^{q_3}+\cdots+q^{a_q}} \\ &= \cdots\cdots \\ &= g_{q+q^{a_2}+\cdots+q^{a_q}} - S_{a_1} + S_{a_1}\cdots S_{a_q} \cdot g_{q-1} \\ &= -S_1 - S_{a_2} - \cdots - S_{a_q} - S_{a_1} - S_{a_1}\cdots S_{a_q}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 6.8. *Let $q = p^s$, $e > 0$, $a > 0$, and $n = (q-1)q^0 + (q-1)q^e + q^a$. Then*

$$g_{n,q} = -\mathtt{x} - S_a + \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x}) - S_a \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^{q-1}. \tag{6.1}$$

Assume that

(i) $-2a - 1 + e \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$;
(ii) $\gcd(\mathtt{x}^a + \mathtt{x} - 2, \mathtt{x}^e - 1) = \mathtt{x} - 1$;
(iii) $\gcd(2\mathtt{x}^a + \mathtt{x} - 3, \mathtt{x}^e - 1) = \mathtt{x} - 1$.

Then $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Proof. Eq. (6.1) follows from Lemma 6.7. To prove that $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ under the given conditions, we assume that $g_{n,q}(x) = g_{n,q}(y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, and try to show that $x = y$. From $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(g_{n,q}(x)) = \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(g_{n,q}(y))$, we derive that

$$(-2a - 1 + e)\bigl(\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(x) - \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(y)\bigr) = 0.$$

Since $-2a - 1 + e \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(y) = c$.

If $c = 0$, the equation $g_{n,q}(x) = g_{n,q}(y)$ becomes

$$2(x-y) + (x-y)^q + \cdots + (x-y)^{q^{a-1}} = 0.$$

Since

$$\gcd(2 + \mathtt{x} + \cdots + \mathtt{x}^{a-1}, 1 + \mathtt{x} + \cdots + \mathtt{x}^{e-1}) = \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}-1}\gcd(\mathtt{x}^a + \mathtt{x} - 2, \mathtt{x}^e - 1) = 1,$$

we must have $x - y = 0$.

If $c \neq 0$, the equation $g_{n,q}(x) = g_{n,q}(y)$ becomes

$$3(x-y) + 2(x-y)^q + \cdots + 2(x-y)^{q^{a-1}} = 0.$$

Since

$$\gcd(3 + 2\mathtt{x} + \cdots + 2\mathtt{x}^{a-1}, 1 + \mathtt{x} + \cdots + \mathtt{x}^{e-1}) = \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}-1}\gcd(2\mathtt{x}^a + \mathtt{x} - 3, \mathtt{x}^e - 1) = 1,$$

we also have $x - y = 0$. □

Theorem 6.9. *Let $q = 2^s$, $s > 1$, $e > 0$, and let $n = (q-1)q^0 + \frac{q}{2}q^{e-1} + \frac{q}{2}q^e$. We have*

$$g_{n,q} = \mathtt{x} + \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x}) + \mathtt{x}^{\frac{1}{2}q^e}\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^{\frac{1}{2}q}.$$

When e is odd, $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.7,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n,q} &= S_1 + S_{e-1}^{\frac{q}{2}} S_e^{\frac{q}{2}} \\ &= \mathtt{x} + \big(S_e^{\frac{1}{2}q} + \mathtt{x}^{\frac{1}{2}q^e}\big) S_e^{\frac{1}{2}q} \\ &= \mathtt{x} + \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x}) + \mathtt{x}^{\frac{1}{2}q^e} \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^{\frac{1}{2}q}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that e is odd. To prove that $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, assume to the contrary that there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, $x \neq y$, such that $g_{n,q}(x) = g_{n,q}(y)$. From $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(g_{n,q}(x)) = \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(g_{n,q}(y))$, we derive that $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(y) = a$. If $a = 0$, the equation $g_{n,q}(x) = g_{n,q}(y)$ becomes $x = y$, which is a contradiction. If $a \neq 0$, the equation $g_{n,q}(x) = g_{n,q}(y)$ becomes

$$(x+y)^{\frac{1}{2}q^e} a^{\frac{1}{2}q} = x + y,$$

i.e.,

$$(x+y)^{q^e-2} = a^{-1}.$$

So $x+y = a$. Then $a = \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(a) = \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(x+y) = 0$, which is a contradiction. □

Theorem 6.10. *Let $q = 4$, $e > 2$, and $n = 3q^0 + 2q^2 + 2q^e$. We have*

$$g_{n,q} = \mathtt{x} + (\mathtt{x} + \mathtt{x}^q)^2 \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^2.$$

Assume $\gcd(1 + \mathtt{x} + \mathtt{x}^3, \mathtt{x}^e + 1) = 1$*. Then $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.7,

$$g_{n,q} = S_1 + S_2^2 S_e^2 = \mathtt{x} + (\mathtt{x} + \mathtt{x}^q)^2 \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^2.$$

To prove that $g_{n,q}$ is a PP under the given condition, we assume that $g_{n,q}(x) = g_{n,q}(y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, and will show that $x = y$. From $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(g_{n,q}(x)) = \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(g_{n,q}(y))$, we derive that $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(y) = a$. If $a = 0$, the equation $g_{n,q}(x) = g_{n,q}(y)$ becomes $x = y$. If $a \neq 0$, the equation $g_{n,q}(x) = g_{n,q}(y)$ becomes

$$z = a^2 (z + z^q)^2, \tag{6.2}$$

where $z = x + y$. Substitute (6.2) into itself, we have

$$z = a^2 \big[a^2 (z + z^q)^2 + a^2 (z + z^q)^{2q}\big]^2 = (z + z^{q^2})^4 = z^q + z^{q^3}.$$

Since $\gcd(1 + \mathtt{x} + \mathtt{x}^3, \mathtt{x}^e + 1) = 1$, we have $z = 0$, i.e., $x = y$. □

The next theorem is similar to Theorem 6.10. Its proof is almost identical to that of Theorem 6.10 and is thus omitted.

Theorem 6.11. *Let $q = 4$, $e > 2$, and $n = 3q^0 + 2q^{e-2} + 2q^e$. We have*

$$g_{n,q} = \mathtt{x} + \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x}) + (\mathtt{x}^{q^{e-2}} + \mathtt{x}^{q^{e-1}})^2 \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q}(\mathtt{x})^2.$$

Assume that $e > 2$ is even and $\gcd(1 + \mathtt{x}^2 + \mathtt{x}^{e-3}, \mathtt{x}^e + 1) = 1$*. Then $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.*

Theorem 6.12. *Let $q = 4$, $e > 0$, and $n = q^0 + q^e + 2q^a + q^b$, $a, b \geq 0$. Then*

$$g_{n,q} = S_a^2 + S_b S_e. \tag{6.3}$$

Assume that $a + b \not\equiv 0 \pmod 2$ *and*

$$\gcd\big(\mathtt{x}^{2a+1} + \mathtt{x} + \epsilon(\mathtt{x}^{2b} + 1),\, (\mathtt{x} + 1)(\mathtt{x}^e + 1)\big) = (\mathtt{x} + 1)^2,$$

for $\epsilon = 0, 1$. Then $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Proof. Eq. (6.3) follows from Theorem 6.1.

To prove that $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ under the given conditions, we assume that $g_{n,q}(x) = g_{n,q}(y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, and try to show that $x = y$. From $S_e(g_{n,q}(x)) = S_e(g_{n,q}(y))$ we derive that

$$(a+b)\big(S_e(x) + S_e(y)\big)^2 = 0.$$

So $S_e(x) = S_e(y) = c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Now the equation $g_{n,q}(x) = g_{n,q}(y)$ becomes

$$S_a(z)^2 = cS_b(z),$$

where $z = x + y$. Thus

$$S_a(z) = \big(cS_b(z)\big)^{2q^{e-1}} = c^2 S_b(z^{2q^{e-1}}). \tag{6.4}$$

We iterate both sides of (6.4) to get

$$(S_a \circ S_a)(z) = c^2 S_b\Big(\big(c^2 S_b(z^{2q^{e-1}})\big)^{2q^{e-1}}\Big) = c^3 (S_b \circ S_b)(z^{q^{e-1}}),$$

i.e.,

$$\big[(S_a \circ S_a)(z)\big]^q = c^3 (S_b \circ S_b)(z). \tag{6.5}$$

Note that $c^3 = 0$ or 1. The conventional associates of the q-polynomials $(S_a \circ S_a)^q$ and $S_b \circ S_b$ are $(1 + \mathtt{x} + \cdots + \mathtt{x}^{a-1})^2 \cdot \mathtt{x}$ and $(1 + \mathtt{x} + \cdots + \mathtt{x}^{b-1})^2$, respectively [10, §3.4]. Since for $\epsilon = 0, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\gcd\big((1+\mathtt{x}+\cdots+\mathtt{x}^{a-1})^2 \cdot \mathtt{x} + \epsilon(1+\mathtt{x}+\cdots+\mathtt{x}^{b-1})^2,\ 1+\mathtt{x}+\cdots+\mathtt{x}^{e-1}\big)\\ =&\frac{1}{(\mathtt{x}+1)^2}\gcd\big(\mathtt{x}^{2a+1} + \mathtt{x} + \epsilon(\mathtt{x}^{2b}+1),\ (\mathtt{x}+1)(\mathtt{x}^e+1)\big)\\ =&1,\end{aligned}$$

it follows from (6.5) that $z = 0$, i.e., $x = y$. □

Lemma 6.13. *Let $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{F}_p$ be a function, and assume that there exists $y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that $f(x+y) - f(x)$ is a nonzero constant for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$. Then*

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta_p^{f(x)} = 0 \qquad (\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}).$$

Proof. Assume $f(x+y) - f(x) = c \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. We have

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta_p^{f(x)} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta_p^{f(x+y)} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta_p^{f(x)+c} = \zeta^c \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta_p^{f(x)}.$$

Since $\zeta^c \neq 1$, we have $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta_p^{f(x)} = 0$. □

Remark 6.14. If $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{F}_p$ is quadratic, then $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta_p^{f(x)} = 0$ if and only if there exists $y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that $f(x+y) - f(x)$ is a nonzero constant for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$. See [4, Ch. VII, VIII], [5, §5.1], [10, §6.2].

Theorem 6.15. *Let $q = 2^s$, $e = 3k$, and $g = \mathtt{x}^2 + S_{4k}S_{2k}$. Then g is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.*

Proof. Note that $S_{4k} \equiv S_{2k}^{q^k} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}}$. So

$$g \equiv \mathtt{x}^2 + S_{2k}^{q^k+1} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}}.$$

By [10, Theorem 7.7], it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}} (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/2}(ag(x))} = 0$$

for all $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$. We write $\mathrm{Tr} = \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/2}$.

Case 1. Assume $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q^k}(a) \neq 0$. By Lemma 6.13, it suffices to show that there exists $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}\bigl(ag(x+y) - ag(x)\bigr)$ is a nonzero constant for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Since $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q^k}(a) \neq 0$, there exists $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^k/2}\bigl(y^2 \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q^k}(a)\bigr) \neq 0$. Then for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}\bigl(ag(x+y) - ag(x)\bigr) \\
=\,& \mathrm{Tr}\bigl[a\bigl(x^2 + y^2 + (S_{2k}(x) + S_{2k}(y))^{q^k+1} + x^2 + S_{2k}(x)^{q^k+1}\bigr)\bigr] \\
=\,& \mathrm{Tr}(ay^2) \qquad\qquad (S_{2k}(y) = 0 \text{ since } y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \\
=\,& \mathrm{Tr}_{q^k/2}\bigl(\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q^k}(ay^2)\bigr) \\
=\,& \mathrm{Tr}_{q^k/2}\bigl(y^2 \mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q^k}(a)\bigr),
\end{aligned}$$

which is a nonzero constant.

Case 2. Assume $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^e/q^k}(a) = 0$. Then $a = b + b^{q^k}$ for some $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$. Since $a \neq 0$, we have $b \notin \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$. For $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}\bigl(ag(x)\bigr) &= \mathrm{Tr}\bigl(bg(x) + b^{q^k} g(x)\bigr) \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}\bigl(bg(x) + bg(x)^{q^{2k}}\bigr) \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}\bigl(b(g(x) + g(x)^{q^{2k}})\bigr).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\mathtt{x}) + g(\mathtt{x})^{q^{2k}} &\equiv \mathtt{x}^2 + S_{2k}^{q^k+1} + \mathtt{x}^{2q^{2k}} + S_{2k}^{q^{2k}+1} \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}} \\
&= \mathtt{x}^2 + \mathtt{x}^{2q^{2k}} + S_{2k} \cdot (S_{2k}^{q^k} + S_{2k}^{q^{2k}}) \\
&\equiv \mathtt{x}^2 + \mathtt{x}^{2q^{2k}} + S_{2k}^2 \pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e} - \mathtt{x}} \\
&= (\mathtt{x} + \mathtt{x}^{q^{2k}} + S_{2k})^2 \\
&= (S_{2k}^q)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}\bigl(ag(x)\bigr) &= \mathrm{Tr}\bigl(bS_{2k}(x)^{2q}\bigr) \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}\bigl(cS_{2k}(x)\bigr) \qquad\qquad (b = c^{2q}) \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}\bigl(c(x + x^q + \cdots + x^{q^{2k-1}})\bigr) \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}\bigl(x(c^{q^{3k}} + c^{q^{3k-1}} + \cdots + c^{q^{k+1}})\bigr).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\gcd(\mathtt{x}^{k+1}+\mathtt{x}^{k+2}+\cdots+\mathtt{x}^{3k},\ \mathtt{x}^{3k}+1)\\ =&\gcd(1+\mathtt{x}+\cdots+\mathtt{x}^{2k-1},\ \mathtt{x}^{3k}+1)\\ =&\,\mathtt{x}^k+1, \end{aligned}$$

we see that for $z\in\mathbb{F}_{q^{3k}}$,

$$z^{q^{3k}}+z^{q^{3k-1}}+\cdots+z^{q^{k+1}}=0\Leftrightarrow z\in\mathbb{F}_{q^k}.$$

Since $c\notin\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$, we have $c^{q^{3k}}+c^{q^{3k-1}}+\cdots+c^{q^{k+1}}\neq 0$. Therefore

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^e}}(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(ag(x))}=\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^e}}(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(x(c^{q^{3k}}+c^{q^{3k-1}}+\cdots+c^{q^{k+1}}))}=0.$$

□

Corollary 6.16. *Let $e=3k$, $k\geq 1$, $q=2^s$, $s\geq 2$, and $n=(q-3)q^0+2q^1+q^{2k}+q^{4k}$. Then*

$$g_{n,q}\equiv\mathtt{x}^2+S_{2k}S_{4k}\pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e}-\mathtt{x}},$$

and $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Proof. We write g_n for $g_{n,q}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g_n&=g_{(q-2)q^0+2q^1+q^{2k}}+S_{4k}\cdot g_{(q-3)q^0+2q^1+q^{2k}}\\ &=g_{(q-1)q^0+2q^1}+S_{2k}\cdot g_{(q-2)q^0+2q^1}+S_{4k}S_{2k}\\ &=g_{2q^1}+S_1\cdot g_{(q-1)q^0+q^1}+S_{4k}S_{2k}\\ &=\mathtt{x}^2+S_{4k}S_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 6.15 that g_n is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$. □

Conjecture 6.17. *Let $q=4$, $e=3k$, $k\geq 1$, and $n=3q^0+3q^{2k}+q^{4k}$. It is easy to see that*

$$g_{n,q}\equiv\mathtt{x}+S_{2k}+S_{4k}+S_{4k}S_{2k}^3\equiv\mathtt{x}+S_{2k}^{q^{2k}}+S_{2k}^{q^k+3}\pmod{\mathtt{x}^{q^e}-\mathtt{x}}.$$

We conjecture that $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Akbary, D. Ghioca, Q. Wang, *On constructing permutations of finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **17** (2011) 51 – 67.

[2] P. Charpin and G. M. Kyureghyan, *When does $G(x)+\gamma\,Tr(H(x))$ permute $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$?* Finite Fields Appl. **15** (2009), 615 – 632.

[3] P. Charpin and G. M. Kyureghyan, *Monomial functions with linear structure and permutation polynomials*, Finite Fields: Theory and Applications, 99 – 111, Contemp. Math., **518**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[4] L. E. Dickson, *Linear Groups: with an Exposition of the Galois Field Theory*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1958.

[5] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.

[6] X. Hou, *Solution to a problem of S. Payne*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **132** (2004), 1 – 8.

[7] X. Hou, *Two classes of permutation polynomials over finite fields*, J. Combin. Theory A, **118** (2011), 448 – 454.

[8] X. Hou, *A new approach to permutation polynomials over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **18** (2012) 492 – 521.

[9] X. Hou, G. L. Mullen, J. A. Sellers, J. L. Yucas, *Reversed Dickson polynomials over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **15** (2009), 748 – 773.

[10] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[11] J. E. Marcos, *Specific permutation polynomials over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **17** (2011), 105 – 112.

[12] S. E. Payne, *Linear transformations of a finite field*, Amer. Math. Monthly **78** (1971), 659 – 660.

[13] S. E. Payne, *A complete determination of translation ovoids in finite Desarguian planes*, Lincei - Rend. Sc. fis. mat. nat. LI (1971), 328–331.

[14] J. Yuan, C. Ding, H. Wang, J. Pieprzyk, *Permutation polynomials of the form* $(x^p - x + \delta)^s + L(x)$, Finite Fields Appl. **14** (2008), 482 – 493.

[15] P. Yuan and C. Ding, *Permutation polynomials over finite fields from a powerful lemma*, Finite Fields Appl. **17** (2011), 560 – 574.

Appendix A. Tables

Table 1. Desirable triples $(q^a - q^b - 1, 2; q)$, $q \leq 67$, $0 < b < a < 2p$, b odd, $b \neq p$, $(a, b) \neq (2, 1)$

a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b
$q = 2$		10	5	24	13	40	7	38	13	50	25	60	37	66	45
–	–	13	11	25	1	40	33	40	7	51	27	61	39	67	47
				25	15	41	35	40	17	52	37	62	1	71	35
$q = 2^2$		**$q = 7^2$**		26	1	42	37	40	31	54	33	63	43	73	59
3	1	6	1	27	19	43	39	41	3	57	7	64	11	74	27
		8	1	28	21	45	13	41	19	58	41	64	45	74	61
$q = 2^3$		8	3	30	25			41	31	59	5	65	49	76	51
–	–	9	3	33	5	**$q = 29$**		42	3	61	47	66	49	76	65
		10	5			15	11	42	21	62	49	67	51	77	67
$q = 2^4$		12	5	**$q = 19$**		21	3	46	29	62	55	69	3	78	9
3	1	12	9	17	9	26	21	49	35	63	39	70	57	78	69
		13	11	23	7	30	1	49	37	64	39	70	65	79	65
$q = 2^5$				25	11	31	19	49	43	64	53	71	59	80	47
–	–	**$q = 11$**		26	13	32	5	50	9	65	7	72	47	80	73
		6	1	30	21	32	27	50	37	67	53	72	61	82	77
$q = 2^6$		10	1	30	23	33	7	51	39	69	63	77	33	83	79
3	1	13	3	31	17	34	5	55	41	70	65	78	73	85	19
		17	13	31	23	34	9	55	47	71	67	80	5	85	59
$q = 3$		18	13	33	17	36	3	57	51	73	71	80	77	85	83
–	–	19	15	34	29	36	13	58	53						
		20	5	35	9	41	23	59	13	**$q = 41$**		**$q = 43$**		**$q = 47$**	
$q = 3^2$		20	17	36	5	42	25	60	5	12	7	20	11	18	3
3	1			36	33	44	1	60	57	31	1	21	11	20	9
4	1	**$q = 13$**		37	35	46	33	61	59	31	5	32	13	24	1
5	1	12	1			46	35			42	1	38	31	29	7
		14	1	**$q = 23$**		47	35	**$q = 37$**		42	33	39	11	37	31
$q = 3^3$		15	3	10	7	52	19	19	15	44	5	46	5	44	21
–	–	18	5	12	1	52	45	29	23	46	5	46	39	45	37
		18	9	21	13	53	23	32	19	46	9	49	11	46	1
$q = 5$		19	5	22	1	54	49	34	21	49	29	51	15	49	3
6	1	22	17	25	3	55	51	36	1	52	21	55	23	50	5
8	1	25	23	26	5	56	5	38	1	53	1	58	29	50	29
				27	21	56	53	38	15	53	23	58	41	51	7
$q = 5^2$		**$q = 17$**		32	17	57	15	39	3	54	25	59	31	54	13
4	1	11	1	34	21			41	7	55	33	60	33	54	51
6	1	15	7	35	31	**$q = 31$**		42	5	57	31	60	39	57	41
7	3	18	1	37	3	22	3	42	9	58	15	61	35	61	27
9	7	18	7	37	11	28	21	43	11	58	33	62	37	62	13
		22	5	37	27	29	21	48	21	59	5	62	53	62	29
$q = 7$		22	9	39	31	35	7	48	45	60	27	65	61	64	33

Table 1. continued

a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b
68	41	58	5	98	19	77	35	115	73	90	39	50	47	110	35
68	57	58	9	98	89	78	37	116	5	90	57	53	47	110	85
70	7	59	11	99	91	83	7	116	113	93	25	70	5	113	91
70	45	59	29	100	67	85	39			94	25	73	17	115	71
73	39	59	37	100	93	85	51	**$q = 61$**		94	65	74	13	116	29
73	51	60	13	101	95	87	35	38	33	97	73	74	57	116	97
75	55	61	15	102	97	90	61	52	39	98	51	75	15	117	23
76	33	62	17	103	37	90	81	59	51	98	73	77	19	118	23
76	57	63	57	103	55	91	63	60	1	99	75	79	23	118	101
77	59	66	25	103	99	92	65	62	1	100	67	85	35	119	23
77	65	67	43	105	11	94	69	62	49	100	77	85	47	119	61
77	67	68	29			94	71	63	3	101	91	85	69	119	103
79	63	71	11	**$q = 59$**		95	71	64	5	102	25	87	17	120	13
82	33	72	37	16	13	96	63	64	37	102	81	87	39	120	105
82	69	72	49	20	3	96	73	66	5	103	83	88	13	121	107
83	71	73	5	23	17	97	75	66	9	109	95	88	41	122	63
84	59	75	21	24	15	98	77	67	25	110	97	89	63	122	109
84	73	75	43	30	1	99	79	68	13	111	29	90	45	124	99
85	75	77	47	31	9	101	9	69	15	113	103	90	83	124	113
86	13	78	13	39	27	103	87	71	19	115	101	91	47	126	33
86	77	78	49	50	13	104	89	74	25	115	107	94	53	126	117
87	79	80	1	56	21	104	101	74	55	116	83	95	35	127	113
89	83	81	35	58	1	105	7	75	27	116	109	95	55	129	123
90	85	82	57	61	3	106	51	79	59	117	7	96	57	130	125
91	27	82	79	61	27	106	93	81	39	118	113	97	59	131	127
		83	59	61	33	107	95	82	41	120	5	98	61	133	131
$q = 53$		85	63	63	7	108	83	84	45	120	117	98	77		
27	23	88	13	66	13	108	97	84	79	121	119	99	77		
32	3	88	69	66	19	109	31	85	47			101	97		
50	21	91	29	67	15	110	25	86	17	**$q = 67$**		102	31		
51	43	92	23	69	19	110	101	86	49	40	17	102	69		
54	1	92	77	73	27	113	107	87	39	43	11	103	71		
57	7	94	81	76	33	114	109	89	35	48	31	109	83		

TABLE 2. Desirable triples $(n, e; 3)$, $e \leq 4$, $w_3(n) > 3$

e	n	3-adic digits of n	reference
1	17	2 2 1	[8] Prop 3.1
2	71	2 2 1 2	[8] Prop 3.2 (i)
2	95	2 1 1 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
2	101	2 0 2 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
2	103	1 1 2 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
2	119	2 0 1 1 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
2	151	1 2 1 2 1	[8] Table 2 No.5
2	197	2 2 0 1 2	[8] Prop 3.2 (ii)
2	485	2 2 2 2 2 1	[8] Prop 3.1
3	101	2 0 2 0 1	♠ §4.1
3	407	2 0 0 0 2 1	♠ §4.2
3	475	1 2 1 2 2 1	
3	605	2 0 1 1 1 2	
3	619	1 2 2 1 1 2	
3	671	2 1 2 0 2 2	
3	701	2 2 2 1 2 2	[8] Prop 3.2 (i)
3	761	2 1 0 1 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
3	769	1 1 1 1 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
3	775	1 0 2 1 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
3	779	2 1 2 1 0 0 1	
3	785	2 0 0 2 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
3	787	1 1 0 2 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
3	827	2 2 1 0 1 0 1	
3	839	2 0 0 1 1 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
3	847	1 0 1 1 1 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
3	925	1 2 0 1 2 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.5
3	1003	1 1 0 1 0 1 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
3	1007	2 2 0 1 0 1 1	[8] Thm 3.10
3	1009	1 0 1 1 0 1 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
3	1097	2 2 1 1 1 1 1	
3	1175	2 1 1 1 2 1 1	
3	1247	2 1 0 1 0 2 1	
3	1423	1 0 2 1 2 2 1	
3	1519	1 2 0 2 0 0 2	[8] Table 2 No.4
3	1739	2 0 1 1 0 1 2	
3	1753	1 2 2 1 0 1 2	
3	1915	1 2 2 1 2 1 2	
3	2021	2 1 2 2 0 2 2	[8] Thm 3.9
3	2117	2 0 1 0 2 2 2	
3	2131	1 2 2 0 2 2 2	[8] Prop 3.2 (ii)

Possible sporadic cases are indicated with ♠.

Table 2. continued

e	n	3-adic digits of n	reference
3	2537	2 2 2 0 1 1 0 1	
3	2723	2 1 2 1 0 2 0 1	
3	2819	2 0 1 2 1 2 0 1	
3	2897	2 2 0 2 2 2 0 1	
3	3137	2 1 0 2 2 0 1 1	
3	3317	2 1 2 2 1 1 1 1	
3	3361	1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1	
3	3517	1 2 0 1 1 2 1 1	
3	3551	2 1 1 2 1 2 1 1	
3	3559	1 1 2 2 1 2 1 1	
3	3833	2 2 2 0 2 0 2 1	
3	4019	2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1	
3	4253	2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1	
3	4261	1 1 2 1 1 2 2 1	
3	5093	2 2 1 2 2 2 0 2	
3	5507	2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2	
3	5557	1 1 2 1 2 1 1 2	
3	5665	1 1 2 2 0 2 1 2	
3	5719	1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2	
3	13121	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1	[8] Prop 3.1
4	173	2 0 1 0 2	[8] Table 2 No.3
4	1477	1 0 2 0 0 0 2	[8] Table 2 No.3
4	6479	2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2	[8] Prop 3.2 (i)
4	6647	2 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	6653	2 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	6655	1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	6661	1 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	6671	2 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	6679	1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	6725	2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	6727	1 1 0 0 2 0 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	6733	1 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	6751	1 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	6887	2 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	6895	1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	7135	1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.5
4	7373	2 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	7375	1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	7381	1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	7399	1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	8119	1 0 2 0 1 0 2 0 1	[8] Table 2 No.5
4	8831	2 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1	[8] Table 2 No.2

Table 2. continued

e	n	3-adic digits of n	reference
4	8839	1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1	[8] Table 2 No.2
4	8855	2 2 2 0 1 0 0 1 1	[8] Thm 3.10
4	11071	1 0 0 2 1 0 0 2 1	[8] Table 2 No.5
4	17717	2 1 0 2 2 0 0 2 2	[8] Thm 3.9
4	19519	1 2 2 2 0 2 2 2 2	[8] Prop 3.2 (ii)
4	26725	1 1 2 2 2 1 0 0 1 1	
4	28669	1 1 2 2 2 0 0 1 1 1	
4	29525	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Thm 3.2
4	36997	1 2 0 2 0 2 2 1 2 1	
4	43933	1 1 0 1 2 0 0 2 0 2	
4	53149	1 1 1 0 2 2 0 0 2 2	Thm 3.2
4	57575	2 0 1 2 2 2 0 2 2 2	Thm 3.2
4	84965	2 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 0 1 1	Thm 3.6
4	88655	2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1	Thm 3.5
4	90815	2 1 1 0 2 1 1 2 1 1 1	Thm 3.1
4	91525	1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1	♠ §4.3
4	107765	2 2 0 1 1 2 0 1 1 2 1	Thm 3.8
4	133079	2 1 2 2 1 1 2 0 2 0 2	
4	148415	2 1 2 0 2 1 2 1 1 1 2	Rmk 3.3
4	167173	1 2 1 2 2 0 1 1 1 2 2	
4	265805	2 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Thm 3.5
4	267935	2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1	Thm 3.1
4	272375	2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1	Thm 3.1
4	272615	2 1 2 1 2 2 1 1 2 1 1 1	Thm 3.1
4	273095	2 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1	Thm 3.1
4	354293	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1	[8] Prop 3.1
5	515	2,0,0,1,0,2	[8] Table 2 No.3
5	569	2,0,0,0,1,2	[8] Table 2 No.3
5	2675	2,0,0,0,0,2,0,1	[8] Table 2 No.3
5	4393	1,0,2,0,0,0,0,2	[8] Table 2 No.3
5	13177	1,0,0,2,0,0,0,0,2	[8] Table 2 No.3
5	20171	2,0,0,0,0,2,0,0,0,1	[8] Table 2 No.3
5	58805	2,2,2,2,2,1,2,2,2,2	[8] Prop 3.2 (i)
5	59297	2,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59303	2,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59305	1,1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59311	1,0,2,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59321	2,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59323	1,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59329	1,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59347	1,0,0,2,0,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59375	2,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1

Table 2. continued

e	n	3-adic digits of n	reference
5	59377	1,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59383	1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59401	1,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59455	1,0,0,0,2,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59537	2,0,0,0,0,2,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59539	1,1,0,0,0,2,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59545	1,0,1,0,0,2,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59563	1,0,0,1,0,2,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	59617	1,0,0,0,1,2,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	60023	2,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	60031	1,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	60049	1,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	60103	1,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	60757	1,2,0,0,0,1,2,0,0,0,1	[8] Table 2 No.5
5	61481	2,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	61483	1,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	61489	1,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	61507	1,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	61561	1,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	63685	1,0,2,0,0,1,0,2,0,0,1	[8] Table 2 No.5
5	65855	2,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	65857	1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	65863	1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	65881	1,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,1,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	65935	1,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,1	Thm 3.1
5	72469	1,0,0,2,0,1,0,0,2,0,1	[8] Table 2 No.5
5	78977	2,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,1	Thm 3.1
5	78979	1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,1	Thm 3.1
5	78985	1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,1	Thm 3.1
5	79003	1,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,1,1	Thm 3.1
5	79055	2,2,2,2,0,1,0,0,0,1,1	[8] Thm 3.10
5	79057	1,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,1	Thm 3.1
5	98821	1,0,0,0,2,1,0,0,0,2,1	[8] Table 2 No.5
5	118591	1,2,0,0,0,2,0,0,0,0,2	[8] Table 2 No.4
5	158117	2,1,0,0,2,2,0,0,0,2,2	Thm 3.2
5	176659	1,2,2,2,2,0,2,2,2,2,2	[8] Prop 3.2 (ii)
5	474349	1,1,1,0,0,2,2,0,0,0,2,2	Thm 3.2
5	513875	2,0,1,0,2,2,2,0,0,2,2,2	Thm 3.2
5	766661	2,1,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,0,1,1	Thm 3.6
5	1121443	1,2,2,2,2,0,2,2,2,2,0,0,2	Thm 3.2
5	1541623	1,1,0,1,0,2,2,2,0,0,2,2,2	Thm 3.2
5	9565937	2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,1	[8] Prop 3.1

Table 2. continued

e	n	3-adic digits of n	reference
6	530711	2,2,2,2,2,2,1,2,2,2,2,2	[8] Prop 3.2 (i)
6	532175	2,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532183	1,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532189	1,0,2,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532199	2,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532253	2,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532261	1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532279	1,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532423	1,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532495	1,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532901	2,0,0,0,0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532903	1,1,0,0,0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532927	1,0,0,1,0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	532981	1,0,0,0,1,0,2,0,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	534359	2,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	534367	1,0,1,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	536551	1,2,0,0,0,0,1,2,0,0,0,0,1	[8] Table 2 No.5
6	538735	1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	538741	1,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	538813	1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	538975	1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	551855	2,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	551935	1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	571591	1,0,0,2,0,0,1,0,0,2,0,0,1	[8] Table 2 No.5
6	591221	2,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	591229	1,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	591247	1,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	591463	1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,1	Thm 3.1
6	650431	1,0,0,0,2,0,1,0,0,0,2,0,1	[8] Table 2 No.5
6	709327	1,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1	Thm 3.1
6	709399	1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1	Thm 3.1
6	709559	2,2,2,2,2,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1	[8] Thm 3.10
6	1419125	2,1,0,0,0,2,2,0,0,0,0,2,2	Thm 3.2
6	1592863	1,2,2,2,2,2,0,2,2,2,2,2,2	[8] Prop 3.2 (ii)
6	4612151	2,0,1,0,0,2,2,2,0,0,0,2,2,2	Thm 3.2
6	6905813	2,1,2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,0,1,1	Thm 3.6
6	10095919	1,2,2,2,2,2,0,2,2,2,2,2,0,0,2	Thm 3.2
6	19657477	1,0,2,2,2,2,0,0,2,2,2,2,0,0,1,1	Thm 3.2
6	258280325	2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,1	[8] Prop 3.1

Table 3. Desirable triples $(n, e; 4)$, $e \leq 6$, $w_4(n) > 4$

e	n	base 4 digits of n	reference
2	59	3,2,3	Thm 5.9 (ii)
2	127	3,3,3,1	[8] Prop 3.1
3	29	1,3,1	Exmp 6.3
3	101	1,1,2,1	Thm 6.12
3	149	1,1,1,2	
3	163	3,0,2,2	Thm 6.10
3	281	1,2,1,0,1	Cor 6.16
3	307	3,0,3,0,1	
3	329	1,2,0,1,1	Exmp 6.4
3	341	1,1,1,1,1	Exmp 6.4
3	2047	3,3,3,3,3,1	[8] Prop 3.1
4	281	1,2,1,0,1	Thm 6.12
4	307	3,0,3,0,1	
4	401	1,0,1,2,1	Thm 6.12
4	547	3,0,2,0,2	Thm 6.10
4	779	3,2,0,0,3	Thm 6.6
4	787	3,0,1,0,3	Thm 6.8
4	817	1,0,3,0,3	
4	899	3,0,0,2,3	Thm 6.6
4	1469	1,3,3,2,1,1	
4	2201	1,2,1,2,0,2	
4	2317	1,3,0,0,1,2	
4	2321	1,0,1,0,1,2	Thm 6.12
4	2377	1,2,0,1,1,2	
4	2441	1,2,0,2,1,2	
4	4387	3,0,2,0,1,0,1	
4	32767	3,3,3,3,3,3,3,1	[8] Prop 3.1
5	29	1,3,1	Exmp 6.3
5	1049	1,2,1,0,0,1	Thm 6.12
5	1061	1,1,2,0,0,1	Thm 6.12
5	1169	1,0,1,2,0,1	Thm 6.12
5	1289	1,2,0,0,1,1	Thm 6.12
5	1409	1,0,0,2,1,1	Thm 6.12
5	1541	1,1,0,0,2,1	Thm 6.12
5	1601	1,0,0,1,2,1	Thm 6.12
5	2083	3,0,2,0,0,2	Thm 6.10
5	2563	3,0,0,0,2,2	Thm 6.9

TABLE 3. continued

e	n	base 4 digits of n	reference
5	4229	1,1,0,2,0,0,1	Thm 6.12
5	4289	1,0,0,3,0,0,1	
5	4387	3,0,2,0,1,0,1	
5	5129	1,2,0,0,0,1,1	Exmp 6.4
5	5141	1,1,1,0,0,1,1	Exmp 6.4
5	5189	1,1,0,1,0,1,1	Exmp 6.4
5	5249	1,0,0,2,0,1,1	Thm 6.12
5	5381	1,1,0,0,1,1,1	Exmp 6.4
5	8713	1,2,0,0,2,0,2	
5	9281	1,0,0,1,0,1,2	Thm 6.12
5	17429	1,1,1,0,0,1,0,1	
5	17441	1,0,2,0,0,1,0,1	Thm 6.12
5	17489	1,0,1,1,0,1,0,1	
5	17681	1,0,1,0,1,1,0,1	
5	524287	3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,1	[8] Prop 3.1
6	4361	1,2,0,0,1,0,1	Thm 6.12
6	6161	1,0,1,0,0,2,1	Thm 6.12
6	6401	1,0,0,0,1,2,1	Thm 6.12
6	8227	3,0,2,0,0,0,2	Thm 6.10
6	8707	3,0,0,0,2,0,2	Thm 6.11
6	12299	3,2,0,0,0,0,3	Thm 6.6
6	12307	3,0,1,0,0,0,3	Thm 6.8
6	14339	3,0,0,0,0,2,3	Thm 6.6
6	37121	1,0,0,0,1,0,1,2	Thm 6.12
6	65801	1,2,0,0,1,0,0,0,1	Cor 6.16
6	65921	1,0,0,2,1,0,0,0,1	
6	66307	3,0,0,0,3,0,0,0,1	
6	135209	1,2,2,0,0,0,1,0,2	
6	135217	1,0,3,0,0,0,1,0,2	
6	135457	1,0,2,0,1,0,1,0,2	
6	137249	1,0,2,0,0,2,1,0,2	
6	8388607	3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,1	[8] Prop 3.1

Appendix B. Parameters Satisfying the Conditions of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2

To describe those α, β satisfying conditions of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we first review the notion of addition in base p with carries in a cyclic order. Each integer $0 \le a \le p^e - 1$ has a unique representation

$$a = a_0 p^0 + \cdots + a_{e-1} p^{e-1}, \qquad 0 \le a_i \le p-1. \tag{B.1}$$

We can also treat a as a function (also denoted by a)

$$\begin{array}{cccc} a: & \mathbb{Z}_e & \longrightarrow & \{0, \dots, p-1\} \\ & i & \longmapsto & a_i \end{array}$$

We will maintain this dual meaning for each integer $0 \le a \le p^e - 1$. Recall that we write the integer a in (B.1) as $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{e-1})_p$. For $0 \le a, b \le p^e - 1$, we can perform the addition of a and b in base p with carries in the natural *cyclic* order of $\mathbb{Z}_e$. The result is denoted by $a \oplus b$. Note that

$$a \oplus b = \begin{cases} (a+b)^\dagger & \text{if } a, b \text{ are not both } 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } a = b = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $c^\dagger$ is the integer in $\{1, 2, \dots, p^e - 1\}$ such that $c^\dagger \equiv c \pmod{p^e - 1}$.

Example B.1. Assume $p = 5$, $e = 7$.

(i) Let

$$a = (1,0,2,3,2,1,4)_5,$$
$$b = (4,3,3,4,1,3,2)_5.$$

Then

$$a \oplus b = (1,4,0,3,4,4,1)_5.$$

The labels of the positions that give a carry are $2, 3$ and $6, 0$; the labels of those receiving a carry are $3, 4$ and $0, 1$.

(ii) Let

$$a = (3,2,1,3,4,0,3)_5,$$
$$b = (2,2,4,4,1,4,2)_5.$$

Then

$$a \oplus b = (1,0,1,3,1,0,1)_5.$$

In this case, every position gives and receives a carry.

In general, for $0 \le a, b \le p^e - 1$, carry giving-receiving in $a \oplus b$ occurs in intervals of $\mathbb{Z}_e$, either interrupted or uninterrupted.

Interrupted carries. In this case, there are disjoint intervals

$$\{s_i, s_i + 1, \dots, s_i + t_i\}, \qquad 0 < t_i < e,\ 1 \le i \le m,$$

of $\mathbb{Z}_e$ such that in $a \oplus b$, the positions that give a carry are precisely $s_i, s_i + 1, \dots, s_i + t_i - 1$, $1 \le i \le m$. This case happens if and only if

$$a(u) + b(u) \begin{cases} \ge p & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i\}, \\ \ge p-1 & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i + 1, \dots, s_i + t_i - 1\}, \\ \le p-2 & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i + t_i\}, \\ \le p-1 & \text{if } u \notin \bigcup_i \{s_i, s_i + 1, \dots, s_i + t_i\}. \end{cases}$$

Uninterrupted carries. In this case, $a(u) + b(u) \geq p - 1$ for all $u \in \mathbb{Z}_e$ and $a(u) + b(u) \geq p$ for at least one u. In $a \oplus b$, every position gives and receives a carry.

B.1. **Parameters satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.1.**

Let α and β satisfy (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1. Then $w_p(\beta^\dagger) = p - 1$ and

$$(\alpha p)^\dagger = (a, \dots, a, \underset{l+1}{a + 1}, a, \dots, a)_p$$

for some $0 \leq a \leq p - 2$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_e$. Since

$$w_p((\alpha p+\beta)^\dagger) = w_p((\alpha p)^\dagger \oplus \beta^\dagger) = w_p((\alpha p)^\dagger) + w_p(\beta^\dagger) - k(p-1) = ae + p - k(p-1),$$

where k is the number of carries in $(\alpha p)^\dagger \oplus \beta^\dagger$, condition (iii) of Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to

$$k = \frac{ae}{p-1}.$$

Since $\frac{ae}{p-1} < e$, $(\alpha p)^\dagger \oplus \beta^\dagger$ cannot have uninterrupted carries.

Explicit enumeration of all α, β satisfying (i) – (iii) of Theorem 3.1 would be very cumbersome. But a method to generate all such α, β is easily described as follows.

We first choose $l \in \mathbb{Z}_e$ arbitrarily and let

$$\alpha = (a, \dots, a, \underset{l}{a + 1}, a, \dots, a)_p,$$

where $0 \leq a \leq p - 2$ is to be further specified later. The construction of β depends on whether $l + 1$ is a carry-giving/receiving position in $(\alpha p)^\dagger \oplus \beta^\dagger$.

Case 1. Assume that position $l + 1$ is carry-giving but not carry-receiving.

Choose disjoint intervals $\{s_i, s_i + 1, \dots, s_i + t_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, of $\mathbb{Z}_e$ such that $t_i > 0$, $l + 1 \in \bigcup_i \{s_i\}$, and

$$t_1 + \cdots + t_m = \frac{ae}{p-1}. \tag{B.2}$$

Choose $0 < g \leq p^e - 1$ such that $w_p(g) = p - 1$ and

$$g(u) \begin{cases} \geq p-1-a & \text{if } u = l+1, \\ \geq p-a & \text{if } u \in \left(\bigcup_i \{s_i\}\right) \setminus \{l+1\}, \\ \geq p-1-a & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i+1, \dots, s_i+t_i-1\}, \\ \leq p-2-a & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i+t_i\}, \\ \leq p-1-a & \text{if } u \notin \bigcup_i \{s_i, s_i+1, \dots, s_i+t_i\}. \end{cases} \tag{B.3}$$

Let

$$\beta = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq pe-1} b_j p^j,$$

where $b_j \geq 0$, and $\sum_{j \equiv i \,(\mathrm{mod}\, e)} b_j = g(i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq e - 1$.

Case 2. Assume that position $l + 1$ is carry-giving and carry-receiving.

Proceed as in Case 1 but require $l+1 \in \bigcup_i \{s_i+1, \dots, s_i+t_i-1\}$ and

$$g(u) \begin{cases} \ge p-2-a & \text{if } u = l+1, \\ \ge p-a & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i\}, \\ \ge p-1-a & \text{if } u \in \big(\bigcup_i \{s_i+1, \dots, s_i+t_i-1\}\big) \setminus \{l+1\}, \\ \le p-2-a & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i+t_i\}, \\ \le p-1-a & \text{if } u \notin \bigcup_i \{s_i, s_i+1, \dots, s_i+t_i\}. \end{cases} \tag{B.4}$$

Case 3. Assume that position $l+1$ is carry-receiving but not carry-giving.
Proceed as in Case 1 but require $l+1 \in \bigcup_i \{s_i+t_i\}$ and

$$g(u) \begin{cases} \le p-3-a & \text{if } u = l+1, \\ \ge p-a & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i\}, \\ \ge p-1-a & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i+1, \dots, s_i+t_i-1\}, \\ \le p-2-a & \text{if } u \in \big(\bigcup_i \{s_i+t_i\}\big) \setminus \{l+1\}, \\ \le p-1-a & \text{if } u \notin \bigcup_i \{s_i, s_i+1, \dots, s_i+t_i\}. \end{cases} \tag{B.5}$$

Case 4. Assume that position $l+1$ is neither carry-giving nor carry-receiving.
Proceed as in Case 1 but require $l+1 \notin \bigcup_i \{s_i, s_i+1, \dots, s_i+t_i\}$ and

$$g(u) \begin{cases} \le p-2-a & \text{if } u = l+1, \\ \ge p-a & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i\}, \\ \ge p-1-a & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i+1, \dots, s_i+t_i-1\}, \\ \le p-2-a & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_i \{s_i+t_i\}, \\ \le p-1-a & \text{if } u \notin \big(\bigcup_i \{s_i, s_i+1, \dots, s_i+t_i\}\big) \cup \{l+1\}. \end{cases} \tag{B.6}$$

Case 1 is nonempty if and only if the following conditions on a and m are satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ae}{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 < m \le \frac{ae}{p-1} \le e-m, \\ (t_1+\cdots+t_m-m+1)(p-1-a)+(m-1)(p-a) \le p-1, \\ (t_1+\cdots+t_m)(p-1)+m(p-2-a)+(e-m-t_1-\cdots-t_m)(p-1-a) \ge p-1. \end{cases} \tag{B.7}$$

The last two inequalities in (B.7) can be simplified so that (B.7) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ae}{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 < m \le \frac{ae}{p-1} \le e-m, \\ a(p-1-a) \le \frac{p-1}{e} \min\{p-m,\ (e-1)(p-1)-m\}. \end{cases} \tag{B.8}$$

In the same way, we find that Case 2 is nonempty if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ae}{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 < m < \frac{ae}{p-1} \le e-m, \\ a(p-1-a) \le \frac{p-1}{e} \min\{p-m,\ (e-1)(p-1)-m\}. \end{cases} \tag{B.9}$$

Case 3 is nonempty if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ae}{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ a \le p-3, \\ m \le \frac{ae}{p-1} < e-m, \\ a(p-1-a) \le \frac{p-1}{e} \min\{p-m-1,\ (e-1)(p-1)-m-1\}. \end{cases} \tag{B.10}$$

Case 4 is nonempty if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ae}{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ m \le \frac{ae}{p-1} < e-m, \\ a(p-1-a) \le \frac{p-1}{e} \min\{p-m-1,\ (e-1)(p-1)-m-1\}. \end{cases} \tag{B.11}$$

B.2. **Parameters satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.2.**

Proposition B.2. *All α, β satisfying conditions (i) – (iii) of Theorem 3.2 are enumerated as follows.*

Case 1.

$\alpha = (\underbrace{p-1, \dots, p-1}_{l}, 0, \dots, 0)_p.$

Case 2.1.

$\alpha = (\frac{p-1}{e}, \dots, \frac{p-1}{e})_p,$

$b \ge \max\big\{p - \frac{p-1}{e}, \frac{p-1}{e} + 2\big\},$ *or* $b \le \frac{p-1}{e}.$

Case 2.2.

$\alpha = (\frac{2(p-1)}{e}, \dots, \frac{2(p-1)}{e})_p,$

$p - \frac{2(p-1)}{e} \le b \le \frac{2(p-1)}{e} + 1.$

Case 3.1.1.

$\alpha = (\frac{p-1}{e} - 1, \frac{p-1}{e}, \dots, \frac{p-1}{e}, \frac{p-1}{e} + 1)_p,$

$b \ge \max\{\frac{(e-1)(p-1)}{e}, \frac{p-1}{e} + 1\},$ *or* $\begin{cases} e = 2, \\ b \le \frac{p-5}{2}, \end{cases}$ *or* $\begin{cases} e \ge 3, \\ b \le \frac{p-1}{e} - 1. \end{cases}$

Case 3.1.2.

$\alpha = (\frac{2(p-1)}{e} - 1, \frac{2(p-1)}{e}, \dots, \frac{2(p-1)}{e}, \frac{2(p-1)}{e} + 1)_p, \quad e = 3$ *or* $4,$

$\frac{(e-2)(p-1)}{e} \le b \le \frac{2(p-1)}{e}.$

Case 3.2.1.

$\alpha = (\frac{p-1}{e} - 1, \frac{p-1}{e} + 1, \frac{p-1}{e}, \dots, \frac{p-1}{e})_p, \quad e \ge 3,$

$b \ge p - \frac{p-1}{e},$ *or* $\begin{cases} p \ge 5, \\ b \le \frac{p-1}{e} - 1. \end{cases}$

Case 3.2.2.

$\alpha = (\frac{2(p-1)}{3} - 1, \frac{2(p-1)}{3} + 1, \frac{2(p-1)}{3})_p, \quad e = 3,$
$p \equiv 1 \pmod 3,$
$\frac{p+2}{3} \le b \le \frac{2(p-1)}{3}.$

Case 3.2.3.

$p = 5,\ e = 4,\ \alpha = (2, 4, 3, 3)_5,\ b = 2.$

Case 3.3.

$\alpha = (\frac{p-1}{e} - 1, \frac{p-1}{e}, \dots, \frac{p-1}{e}, \overbrace{\frac{p-1}{e} + 1}^{l}, \frac{p-1}{e}, \dots, \frac{p-1}{e})_p, \quad 2 \le l \le e-2,$
$b \ge p - \frac{p-1}{e}$, *or* $b \le \frac{p-1}{e} - 1.$

Proof. It is easy to see that those α and β enumerated in the proposition all satisfy (i) – (iii) of Theorem 3.2.

Now assume that α, β satisfy (i) – (iii) of Theorem 3.2. By (i),

$$\alpha + 1 = (a, \dots, a)_p + p^l,$$

where $0 \le a \le p-2$.

Case 1. Assume $a = 0$. Then

$$\alpha = (\underbrace{p-1, \dots, p-1}_{l}, 0, \dots, 0)_p.$$

For the rest of the proof, assume $a > 0$. Then

$$\alpha = (a, \dots, a)_p, \quad l = 0,$$

or

$$\alpha = (a-1, a, \dots, a, \underset{l}{a+1}, a \dots, a)_p, \quad 1 \le l \le e-1.$$

Let c be the number of carries in $(\alpha p)^\dagger \oplus \beta$. By (iii) we have

$$p = w_p((\alpha p + \beta)^\dagger) = w_p((\alpha p)^\dagger) + p - c(p-1) = ae + p - c(p-1).$$

So $c = \frac{ae}{p-1}$.

Case 2. Assume $\alpha = (a, \dots, a)_p$. We have

$$\begin{array}{rcllllll} (\alpha p)^\dagger & = & (& a & a & a & \cdots & a\ )_p, \\ \beta & = & (& b & p-b & 0 & \cdots & 0\ )_p. \end{array}$$

The only possible carry-giving positions in $(\alpha p)^\dagger \oplus \beta$ are 0 and 1, so $c = 1$ or 2.

Case 2.1. Assume $c = 1$. Then $a = \frac{p-1}{e}$. We have

$$\begin{cases} a + b \ge p, \\ a + p - b \le p - 2, \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} a + b \le p - 1, \\ a + p - b \ge p, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$b \ge \max\Bigl\{p - \frac{p-1}{e},\ \frac{p-1}{e} + 2\Bigr\}, \text{ or } b \le \frac{p-1}{e}.$$

Case 2.2. Assume $c = 2$. Then $a = \frac{2(p-1)}{e}$. We have

$$\begin{cases} a + b \ge p, \\ a + p - b \ge p - 1, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$p - \frac{2(p-1)}{e} \le b \le \frac{2(p-1)}{e} + 1.$$

Case 3. Assume $\alpha = (a-1, a, \dots, a, a \underset{l}{+} 1, a \dots, a)_p$, $1 \le l \le e-1$.

Case 3.1. Assume $l = e - 1$. We have

$$\begin{array}{rcllllll} (\alpha p)^\dagger & = & (& a+1 & a-1 & a & \cdots & a \ )_p, \\ \beta & = & (& b & p-b & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ )_p. \end{array}$$

The only possible carry-giving positions in $(\alpha p)^\dagger \oplus \beta$ are 0 and 1, so $c = 1$ or 2.

Case 3.1.1. Assume $c = 1$. Then $a = \frac{p-1}{e}$. We have

$$\begin{cases} a + 1 + b \ge p, \\ a - 1 + p - b \le p - 2, \end{cases} \quad or \quad \begin{cases} e = 2, \\ a + 1 + b \le p - 2, \\ a - 1 + p - b \ge p, \end{cases} \quad or \quad \begin{cases} e \ge 3, \\ a + 1 + b \le p - 1, \\ a - 1 + p - b \ge p, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$b \ge \max\Big\{ \frac{(e-1)(p-1)}{e},\ \frac{p-1}{e} + 1 \Big\}, \text{ or } \begin{cases} e = 2, \\ b \le \frac{p-5}{2}, \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} e \ge 3, \\ b \le \frac{p-1}{e} - 1. \end{cases}$$

Case 3.1.2. Assume $c = 2$. Then $a = \frac{2(p-1)}{e}$, which implies $e \ge 3$. We have

$$\begin{cases} a + 1 + b \ge p, \\ a - 1 + p - b \ge p - 1, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{(e-2)(p-1)}{e} \le b \le \frac{2(p-1)}{e}.$$

Since $e \ge 3$, the above inequalities force $e = 3$ or 4.

Case 3.2. Assume $l = 1 \le e - 2$. We have

$$\begin{array}{rclllllll} (\alpha p)^\dagger & = & (& a & a-1 & a+1 & a & \cdots & a \ )_p, \\ \beta & = & (& b & p-b & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ )_p. \end{array}$$

The only possible carry-giving positions in $(\alpha p)^\dagger \oplus \beta$ are $0, 1, 2$, so $c = 1, 2, 3$.

Case 3.2.1. Assume $c = 1$. Then $a = \frac{p-1}{e}$. We have

$$\begin{cases} a + b \ge p, \\ a - 1 + p - b \le p - 2, \end{cases} \quad or \quad \begin{cases} a + b \le p - 1, \\ a - 1 + p - b \ge p, \\ a + 1 \le p - 2, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$b \ge p - \frac{p-1}{e}, \text{ or } \begin{cases} p \ge 5, \\ b \le \frac{p-1}{e} - 1. \end{cases}$$

Case 3.2.2. Assume $c = 2$. Then $a = \frac{2(p-1)}{e}$. We claim that $a \neq p-2$. (Otherwise, $p-2 = \frac{2(p-1)}{e}$, which forces $p = 3$, $e = 4$, and $a = 1$. Then $(\alpha p)^\dagger \oplus \beta = (1,0,2,1)_3 \oplus (b, 3-b, 0, 0)_3$ cannot have 2 carries.) Thus we must have

$$\begin{cases} a+b \geq p, \\ a-1+p-b \geq p-1, \\ a+1 \leq p-2, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} e \geq 3, \\ p - \frac{2(p-1)}{e} \leq b \leq \frac{2(p-1)}{e}. \end{cases}$$

The above system forces $e = 3$. Since $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we must have $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

Case 3.2.3. Assume $c = 3$. Then $a = \frac{3(p-1)}{e}$, which implies $e > 3$. We have

$$\begin{cases} a+b \geq p, \\ a-1+p-b \geq p-1, \\ a+1 = p-1, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$p = 5,\ e = 4,\ a = 3,\ b = 2.$$

Case 3.3. Assume $2 \leq l \leq e-2$. We have

$$\begin{array}{rl} (\alpha p)^\dagger & = (\ a \quad a-1 \quad a \cdots a \quad \overset{l+1}{a+1} \quad a \cdots a\ )_p, \\ \beta & = (\ b \quad p-b \quad 0 \qquad \cdots \qquad 0\ )_p. \end{array}$$

The only possible carry-giving positions in $(\alpha p)^\dagger \oplus \beta$ are 0 and 1, so $c = 1$ or 2. If $c = 2$, then $a + b \geq p$ and $a - 1 + p - b \geq p - 1$, which imply that $2a \geq p$. This is impossible since $a = \frac{2(p-1)}{e}$ and $e \geq 4$. So $c = 1$. We have

$$\begin{cases} a+b \geq p, \\ a-1+p-b \leq p-2, \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} a+b \leq p-1, \\ a-1+p-b \geq p, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$b \geq p - \frac{p-1}{e}, \text{ or } b \leq \frac{p-1}{e} - 1.$$

$\square$

APPENDIX C. PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1

When $q > 3$ is odd,

$$g(\mathrm{y})^{2q^2+2} \equiv$$

$$8\mathrm{y}^{q^3-1} - 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3} - 6\mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+3q-1} + 12\mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+3q-3} - 6\mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+3q-5} - 18\mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+2q}$$

$$+ 28\mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+2q-2} - 10\mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+2q-4} - 18\mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+q+1} + 20\mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+q-1} - 4\mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+q-3}$$

$$- 6\mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+2} + 4\mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2} - 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+4q-1} + 4\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+4q-3} - 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+4q-5} - 8\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+3q}$$

$$+ 12\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+3q-2} - 4\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+3q-4} - 12\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+2q+1} + 13\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+2q-1} - 4\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+2q-3}$$

$$+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+2q-5} - 8\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+q+2} + 6\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+q} - 4\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+q-2} + 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+q-4}$$

$$- 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+1} - 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2-3} + 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+3q-1} - 4\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+3q-3}$$

$$+ 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+3q-5} + 6\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+2q} - 10\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+2q-2} + 4\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+2q-4} + 6\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+q+1}$$

$$- 8\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+q-1} + 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+q-3} + 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+2} - 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+4q-1} - 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+4q-3}$$

$$+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+4q-5} + 4\mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+3q} - 6\mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+3q-2} + 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+3q-4} + 6\mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+2q+1}$$

$$- 6\mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+2q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+2q-3} + 4\mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+q+2} - 2\mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+q} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+3} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2} - 2\mathrm{y}^{4q^2-2}$$

$$+ \mathrm{y}^{4q^2-4} + 4\mathrm{y}^{3q^2+q} - 8\mathrm{y}^{3q^2+q-2} + 4\mathrm{y}^{3q^2+q-4} + 4\mathrm{y}^{3q^2+1} - 6\mathrm{y}^{3q^2-1} + 2\mathrm{y}^{3q^2-3} + 6\mathrm{y}^{2q^2+2q}$$

$$- 12\mathrm{y}^{2q^2+2q-2} + 6\mathrm{y}^{2q^2+2q-4} + 12\mathrm{y}^{2q^2+q+1} - 18\mathrm{y}^{2q^2+q-1} + 6\mathrm{y}^{2q^2+q-3} + 6\mathrm{y}^{2q^2+2} - 6\mathrm{y}^{2q^2}$$

$$+ \mathrm{y}^{2q^2-2} + 4\mathrm{y}^{q^2+3q} - 8\mathrm{y}^{q^2+3q-2} + 4\mathrm{y}^{q^2+3q-4} + 12\mathrm{y}^{q^2+2q+1} - 18\mathrm{y}^{q^2+2q-1} + 6\mathrm{y}^{q^2+2q-3}$$

$$+ 12\mathrm{y}^{q^2+q+2} - 14\mathrm{y}^{q^2+q} + 6\mathrm{y}^{q^2+q-2} - 2\mathrm{y}^{q^2+q-4} + 4\mathrm{y}^{q^2+3} - 4\mathrm{y}^{q^2+1} + 4\mathrm{y}^{q^2-1} - 2\mathrm{y}^{q^2-3}$$

$$+ \mathrm{y}^{4q} - 2\mathrm{y}^{4q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{4q-4} + 4\mathrm{y}^{3q+1} - 6\mathrm{y}^{3q-1} + 2\mathrm{y}^{3q-3} + 6\mathrm{y}^{2q+2} - 12\mathrm{y}^{2q} + 13\mathrm{y}^{2q-2} - 6\mathrm{y}^{2q-4}$$

$$+ 4\mathrm{y}^{q+3} - 14\mathrm{y}^{q+1} + 20\mathrm{y}^{q-1} - 8\mathrm{y}^{q-3} + \mathrm{y}^4 - 6\mathrm{y}^2.$$

When $q > 3$ is even,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&g(\mathrm{y})^{2q^2+q+3} \equiv \\
&\mathrm{y}^{q^3-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-5} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q+4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q+2} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q+5} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q-3} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q-5} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+4q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+4q-6} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+3q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+3q-3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+3q-5} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+3q-7} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+2q} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+2q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+2q-6} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2+q-1} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2-4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2-q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2-q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2-q-3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2-q-5} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2-2q} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-q^2-2q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+6q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+6q-3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+6q-5} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+6q-7} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+5q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+5q-6} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+4q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+4q-3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+3q-2} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+3q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+3q-6} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+2q+3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+2q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+2q-3} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+q+2} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2+3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2-3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2-5} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2-q+2} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2-q} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2-q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2-q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2-2q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-2q^2-2q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+2q} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+2q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+q-3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+q-5} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2+2} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2-4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2-q+3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2-q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-3q^2-2q+2} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+4q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+4q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+4q-3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+4q-5} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+3q} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+3q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+2q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+2q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+q} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+q-2} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+5} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2+3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2-q+4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2-q+2} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^3-4q^2-2q+3} + \mathrm{y}^{6q^2} + \mathrm{y}^{6q^2-2} + \mathrm{y}^{6q^2-4} + \mathrm{y}^{6q^2-6} + \mathrm{y}^{6q^2-2q} + \mathrm{y}^{6q^2-2q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{6q^2-2q-4} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{6q^2-2q-6} + \mathrm{y}^{5q^2-1} + \mathrm{y}^{5q^2-5} + \mathrm{y}^{5q^2-q} + \mathrm{y}^{5q^2-q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{5q^2-q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{5q^2-q-6} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{5q^2-2q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{5q^2-2q-5} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2+2q} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2+2q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2+2q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2+2q-6} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2+q-1} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{4q^2+q-5} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2+2} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2-4} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2-q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2-q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2-q-3} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2-q-5} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{4q^2-2q+2} + \mathrm{y}^{4q^2-2q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{3q^2-3} + \mathrm{y}^{3q^2-q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{3q^2-q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{3q^2-2q-3} + \mathrm{y}^{2q^2+4q} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{2q^2+4q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{2q^2+4q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{2q^2+4q-6} + \mathrm{y}^{2q^2+q-3} + \mathrm{y}^{2q^2+4} + \mathrm{y}^{2q^2+2} + \mathrm{y}^{2q^2-6} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{2q^2-q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{2q^2-q-3} + \mathrm{y}^{2q^2-2q+4} + \mathrm{y}^{2q^2-2q+2} + \mathrm{y}^{2q^2-2q} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2+4q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2+4q-5} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^2+3q} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2+3q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2+3q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2+3q-6} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2+2q-3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2+q} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2+q-6} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2+3} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{q^2-1} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2-3} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2-5} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2-q+4} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2-q+2} + \mathrm{y}^{q^2-2q+3} + \mathrm{y}^{6q} + \mathrm{y}^{6q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{6q-4} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{6q-6} + \mathrm{y}^{5q-1} + \mathrm{y}^{5q-5} + \mathrm{y}^{4q+2} + \mathrm{y}^{4q-4} + \mathrm{y}^{3q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{2q+4} + \mathrm{y}^{2q} + \mathrm{y}^{2q-2} + \mathrm{y}^{2q-4} \\
&+ \mathrm{y}^{2q-6} + \mathrm{y}^{q+3} + \mathrm{y}^{q+1} + \mathrm{y}^{q-5} + \mathrm{y}^{6} + \mathrm{y}^{2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620
E-mail address: `wfernand@mail.usf.edu`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620
E-mail address: `xhou@usf.edu`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620
E-mail address: `slappano@mail.usf.edu`